# Understanding predictability of the MJO in ECMWF S2S Reforecast

Shuyi S. Chen, Yuanwen Zhang, Brandon Kerns, and Ajda Savarin University of Washington



(S2S Workshop, ECMWF, Reading, UK, 2-5 April 2019)





## **Objectives**:

- Better understand and predict the Madden-Julian Oscillation (MJO) convective initiation and eastward propagation
- Assessing predictability and predictive skill of the MJO in the ECMWF S2S reforecast ensemble

## Data:

- 20 years of the TRMM-GPM Precipitation from 1998-2018 (Kerns and Chen 2016, 2019)
- RMM (BoM)

# Model:

- ECMWF S2S reforecast ensemble from 1998-2017
- ECMWF IFS ensemble experiments (IC + SPPT + SKEBS, 15-day forecast), four initial times: 18, 20, 22, 24 November 2011 (collaboration with Hannah Christensen)

# **Data and Large-scale Precipitation Tracking (LPT)**:

- TMPA 3B42 V7 data (0.25°, 3 hourly)
- <u>LP Object (LPO)</u>: 3-day accumulated rainfall with spatial filter (5° X 5°) area of > 12 mm day<sup>-1</sup> (> 250,000 km<sup>2</sup>)
- **<u>LP Tracking (LPT)</u>**: track LPO in time > 7 days
- MJO LPT: LPT > 10 days; eastward propagation speed > 0 m/s

3 Day Rain Ending 0000 UTC 24 Nov. 2011





# Tracking the MJO



- Traditional RMM index cannot provide spatial and temporal variation of the MJO.
- LPT is used to track MJO precipitation. (Kerns and Chen 2016)

# Tracking the MJO



- Traditional RMM index cannot provide spatial and temporal variation of the MJO.
- LPT is used to track MJO precipitation. (Kerns and Chen 2016)
- Challenge: majority of NWP and climate models cannot reproduce MJO precipitation patterns.

### 20 Years Rainfall Climatology (TRMM-GPM 3B42 1998-2018)



### 20 Years Rainfall Climatology (S2S ECMWF Forecast Day 1-4)



### Difference: (S2S ECMWF Forecast Day 1-4) – (TRMM)



### Difference: (S2S ECMWF Forecast Day 41-44) – (TRMM)

![](_page_8_Figure_1.jpeg)

# 20 year MJO climatology

![](_page_9_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_10_Figure_0.jpeg)

## Evaluation of S2S ECMWF reforecast (1998-2017)

![](_page_11_Figure_1.jpeg)

- Very good overall total MJO numbers and general tracks
- Hint of double ITCZ problem in the model

![](_page_11_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_12_Figure_0.jpeg)

MJO LPT "Density"

#### Forecast day 1-4

Forecast day 41-40

![](_page_13_Figure_2.jpeg)

#### All MJO events ("climate")

MJO Numbers (based on RMM Indexes) : 1998-2017

![](_page_14_Figure_2.jpeg)

Forecast day

#### Matching MJO events in time + space

#### MJO Numbers (RMM Indexes) of S2S\_EC that match OBS: 1998-2017

![](_page_14_Figure_5.jpeg)

MJO Numbers of S2S\_EC that match TRMM: 1998-2017

![](_page_14_Figure_7.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Figure_0.jpeg)

#### How the MJO propagate?

MJO Eastward Propogation Speed: 1-4 days

![](_page_15_Figure_3.jpeg)

## Assess Predictability of MJO RMM and LPT using ECMWF IFS ensemble experiments (IC + SPPT + SKEBS) 15-day forecast, 4 initial times: 18, 20, 22, 24 November 2011 LPT for ECMWF CY41R1 IC+SPPT+SKEBS Ensemble

![](_page_16_Figure_1.jpeg)

# SUMMARY

- Large-scale Precipitation Tracking (LPT) provides a robust and direct measure of MJO convection/precipitation, which capture the spatial structure and its variability
- ECMWF S2S reforecast has very good skill in predicting the total number of MJO regardless lead time, but poor MJO initiation in Indian Ocean
- ECMWF S2S forecast skill in predicting RMM and MJO LPT decreases with lead time, more so in LPT than RMM
- Predictability of the MJO convective initiation over the Indian Ocean is relatively low as indicated by the ECMWF stochastic ensemble forecasts (similar results in S2S reforecast)