Northern Hemisphere atmospheric response to Arctic summer sea ice loss in CNRM-CM6

> Svenya Chripko Rym Msadek, Emilia Sanchez-Gomez CERFACS/CECI, Toulouse, France



#### **APPLICATE GENERAL ASSEMBLY 2019**

WP3 : Atmospheric and oceanic linkages









#### Arctic sea ice loss : does it affect the mid-latitudes?

#### Sea ice concentrations



Will Arctic sea ice decrease have an effect on large-scale atmospheric circulation, independently of other external forcings? (e.g. GHGs)

Objective : To isolate the role of Arctic sea ice loss on atmospheric circulation

(CMIP5 multi-model mean, IPCC AR5 WG1 Chap 12)

### PRIMAVERA WP5 albedo experiment



### PRIMAVERA WP5 albedo experiment



### Sea ice responses

#### Sea ice surface concentration (SIC), PERT - CTL



- Large sea ice loss in autumn
- Not completely recovered in winter (loss on the edges)
- Stronger sea ice loss the 2<sup>nd</sup> autumn

sig. 90%

#### Sea ice volume (SIV) per area unit, PERT - CTL



 Largest sea ice volume loss in central Arctic

More SIC/SIV loss the 2<sup>nd</sup> autumn → stronger atmospheric response expected

## Turbulent heat flux response

ONDO

• Positive THF response where sea ice loss

 Strong response in autumn (november) and amplified the 2<sup>nd</sup> autumn (more SIC/SIV loss)

 Meridional dipole structure (Barents-Kara region)

→ southward advection of warmer air above regions with sea ice loss (Deser et al. 2010, Screen et al. 2013) <u>Turbulent heat flux response (PERT – CTL)</u>

SIC response (PERT – CTL)

(% CTL)

-20 -40 -60 -80 -100



+ upward (lower atm warms)

- downward (upper ocean warms)



- Arctic amplification
- Maximum in autumn (stronger during the 2<sup>nd</sup> one)
- Significant signal over continents up to mid-latitudes : consistent with previous studies (e.g. Peings et al. 2014)
  - ightarrow Warming over Siberia and North America in autumn
  - → Cooling over central Asia and Western Europe in winter + over central Asia the 2<sup>nd</sup> autumn



- Arctic amplification
- Maximum in autumn (stronger during the 2<sup>nd</sup> one)
- Significant signal over continents up to mid-latitudes : consistent with previous studies (e.g. Peings et al. 2014)
  - ightarrow Warming over Siberia and North America in autumn
  - → Cooling over central Asia and Western Europe in winter + over central Asia the 2<sup>nd</sup> autumn



- Arctic amplification
- Maximum in autumn (stronger during the 2<sup>nd</sup> one)
- Significant signal over continents up to mid-latitudes : consistent with previous studies (e.g. Peings et al. 2014)
  - ightarrow Warming over Siberia and North America in autumn
  - → Cooling over central Asia and Western Europe in winter + over central Asia the 2<sup>nd</sup> autumn



- Arctic amplification
- Maximum in autumn (stronger during the 2<sup>nd</sup> one)
- Significant signal over continents up to mid-latitudes : consistent with previous studies (e.g. Peings et al. 2014)
  - $\rightarrow$  Warming over Siberia and North America in autumn
  - → Cooling over central Asia and Western Europe in winter + over central Asia the 2<sup>nd</sup> autumn

<u>Surface temperature and turbulent heat flux responses</u> :

are they directly controlled by the sea ice forcing and/or by atmospheric variability?

# Dynamical and thermodynamical TAS components

Dynamical adjustment method to isolate the contribution of atmospheric internal variability (by Deser, Terray and Phillips 2016)

• Thermodynamical effect dominates over the Arctic

Dynamical and thermodynamical components might cancel each other (e.g. central Europe and Greenland)
→ weak total response

• JFM : cool dynamical response in Asia (consistent with NAM-) enhanced by the thermodynamical one

 $\rightarrow$  significant cooler temperature over central Asia

Total surface temperature response



# Dynamical and thermodynamical TAS components

Dynamical adjustment method to isolate the contribution of atmospheric internal variability (by Deser, Terray and Phillips 2016)

• Thermodynamical effect dominates over the Arctic

Dynamical and thermodynamical components might cancel each other (e.g. central Europe and Greenland)
→ weak total response

• JFM : cool dynamical response in Asia (consistent with NAM-) enhanced by the thermodynamical one

 $\rightarrow$  significant cooler temperature over central Asia

Total surface temperature response



0.8 0.4 0



# Dynamical and thermodynamical TAS components

Dynamical adjustment method to isolate the contribution of atmospheric internal variability (by Deser, Terray and Phillips 2016)

• Thermodynamical effect dominates over the Arctic

Dynamical and thermodynamical components might cancel each other (e.g. central Europe and Greenland)
→ weak total response

• JFM : cool dynamical response in Asia (consistent with NAM-) enhanced by the thermodynamical one

 $\rightarrow$  significant cooler temperature over central Asia

Total surface temperature response





-0.8

-1.2

-1.6

-2



# Dynamical and thermodynamical THF components

Dynamical adjustment method to isolate the contribution of atmospheric internal variability (by Deser, Terray and Phillips 2016)

Total turbulent heat flux response OND1 ONDO (W.m<sup>2</sup>) **Dynamical response** 60 OND1 40 20 -20 -40 -60 Thermodynamical response OND0 OND1

Turbulent heat flux response dominated by thermodynamical component (sea ice forcing)

#### Pressure response

Sea level pressure (contours : climatology)



Geopotential height Z500



• <u>Autumn</u>: strong negative anomaly over the Arctic Ocean due to thermodynamical effect

+ positive Z500 anomalies → Baroclinic response

 <u>Winter</u> : 3 anticyclonic patterns over the polar cap
→ Barotropic response

 <u>2<sup>nd</sup> autumn</u>: intensification of the Aleutian Low and the Siberian High (consistent with other studies using coupled models : cf. Screen et al. 2018)

Atmospheric response consistent with the NAM- in the middle troposphere the 2<sup>nd</sup> autumn

## Zonal-mean zonal wind response



Troposphere

- Autumn : slight weakening of both sides of the jet stream
- → stronger the 2<sup>nd</sup> autumn with a significant narrowing of the jet stream (consistent with other studies, e.g. Sun et al. 2015)
  - Winter : weakening of the poleward side of the jet stream
    - $\rightarrow$  slight equatorward displacement

Stratosphere

- Not significant responses in OND0 and JFM1 (strong variability)
- Significant poleward displacement of the polar vortex the 2<sup>nd</sup> autumn

## Modification of cold extreme temperatures in Eurasia

#### Winter (JFM)



Cold extremes are cooler over

central Asia (~ -1.5°C)

and warmer over Eastern Europe (~ +3°C)

(e.g. Peings et al. 2014)

#### Summary of autumn and winter atmospheric responses

- Stronger atmospheric response the autumn following the 2<sup>nd</sup> summer sea ice loss (more SIC/SIV loss)
  - $\rightarrow$  significant narrowing of the jet stream
  - $\rightarrow$  poleward displacement of the polar vortex
  - ightarrow Z500 and dynamical TAS responses consistent with NAM-
- Weakly significant responses in the stratosphere (zonal-mean zonal winds) : suggesting a strong variability
- $\rightarrow$  Interaction mechanism between the troposphere and the stratosphere under investigation
- Cooler cold extremes over central Asia (~ -1.5°C) consistent with the TAS cooling response, and warmer cold extremes over Eastern Europe (~+3°C)

 $\rightarrow$  Prolongation of the simulations to study the 2<sup>nd</sup> winter response

→ Study of atmospheric response to a more realistic seasonal sea ice forcing (PAMIP experiments, WP3)