O Alertness



The University Centre in Svalbard

The ambition and primary objective of Alertness

To develop world leading capacity for the delivery of reliable and accurate Arctic weather forecasts and warnings for the benefit of maritime operations, business and society.

-

Scientific hypotheses

(i) improved observations and improved use of observations enable advances in Arctic forecasting,

(ii) new ways to identify error compensation will create a pathway to sustained model improvement, and

(iii) embracing forecast uncertainty will result in more robust forecasts of Arctic weather.





Four scientific objectives

- 1. Develop and apply verification metrics and diagnostics for NWP in the Arctic
- 2. Improve use and assimilation of Arctic observations for NWP
- 3. Enhance and improve NWP model capabilities and diagnostics for high latitudes
- 4. Develop an Ensemble Prediction System optimized for Arctic conditions





ALERTNESS NWP value chain



AROME Arctic

https://www.met.no/en/projects/The-weather-model-AROME-Arctic



Batrak, Y., & Müller, M. (2018): Atmospheric response to kilometer-scale changes in sea ice concentration within the marginal ice zone. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 45, 6702–6709. https://doi.org/10.1029/2018GL078295





Batrak, Y., Kourzeneva, E., and Homleid, M. (2018): Implementation of a simple thermodynamic sea ice scheme, SICE version 1.0-38h1, within the ALADIN– HIRLAM numerical weather prediction system version 38h1, Geosci. Model Dev., 11, 3347-3368, https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-11-3347-2018



Alertness and APPLICATE

NWP model-intercomparison during YOPP SOPs

Mean SOP1 near-surface temperatures in short range forecasts



Advanced prediction in

Alertness

polar regions and beyond

Many similarities between "model climatologies" and forecast error characteristics, but they also have distinct regional differences in climatology and skill.

More tomorrow in Morten's presentation: A NWP model inter-comparison of surface weather parameters during the Year of Polar Prediction Special Observing Period Northern Hemisphere

Without all RS

Verification against radiosonde observations RMSE of Geopotential (m) (OSE140CM – OSE140RS) Period 20180215 – 20180309



OSE experiments in Alertness

Red-control; blue-without SOP1, green- without all RS



Without SOP1



Forecast step (h)

Verification against radiosonde observations RMSE of Relative Humidity (%) (OSE140CM – OSE140S1N) Period 2018/0315 – 2018/0331





8 stations Selection: ALL Relative humidity Period: 20180215-20180331 Statistics at 00 UTC Used {00,12} + 00 12 24 36 48



Forecast step (h)

A future AROME Arctic EPS: Polar Low 2017-11-27 12.51 statley 0m (00 +0) 2017-11-27 00 0m 0 (00 +0) 2017-11-27 00 UTC

MSLP mean & standard deviation



Control-member: MSLP, cloud-cover, wind, precipitation

Perturbed-member: MSLP, cloud-cover, wind, precipitation

APPLICATE Task 5.3.4

Uncertainties in the sea ice and sea surface temperature boundary conditions for LAMs will be accounted for through a novel ensemble generation approach in a NWP framework using a high-resolution limited area model for an Arctic domain.

EPS runs for YOPP SOP1 period (08.03.2018 - 31.03.2018)

- Model: harmonEPS40h1.1.1 ("AROME Arctic EPS")
- Domain: AROME Arctic
- Members: Control + 10 perturbed
- IC perturbations: PertAna / SLAF
- Boundary perturbations: SLAF
- Surface perturbations:
 - White noise spatially smoothed to a predefined correlation length scale.
 - Applied additively or multiplicatively.
 - Rescaling and clipping of perturbation fields.

Evaluation of effect of SST perturbations and the associated added value of EPS vs deterministic runs





Towards an AROME Arctic EPS

In collaboration with APPLICATE (Task 5.3.4), assess the sensitivity to changes in sea ice and SST and develop novel methods to perturb these fields.

>> Alertness will build on the work done in APPLICATE by assessing the impact of targeting perturbations where the uncertainty is greatest.

>> Further, the combined effects of sea ice perturbations and SST perturbations will be investigated.

Thank you for your attention!

jornk@met.no #alertnessarctic alertness.no

10

OSE experiments in Alertness

In frame of the **APPLICATE** project ECMWF is running OSE experiments (cf. Heather's presentation) and sharing the results to be used as lateral boundary conditions (LBCs). Two series of OSEs: with global and Arctic ($lat \ge 60$) observations denial. Scenarios: (1)Control global (2)Denial global (3)Control LAM (4)Denial LAM LBC1 LBC2 Case 1: LBC1 + (3) vs LBC1 + (4) => impact of obs in LAM Case 2: LBC1 + (4) vs LBC2 + (4) => impact of obs through LBC in LAM Case 3: Global vs Arctic denial => impact of non-Arctic observations in Arctic (LAM)NWP Case 2 (microwave) Case 1 (microwave) 4 stations Selection: ALL Specific humidity Period: 20180117-20180217 Impact of obs through LBC Statistics at 12 UTC Used {00,12} + 00 12 24 36 48 Impact of obs in LAM No cases Verification against radiosonde observations Verification against radiosonde observations 150 200 350 400 500 100 300 450 RMSE of Relative Humidity (%) (ALT40MW - ALT40CMW) RMSE of Relative Humidity (%) (ALT40CMW – ALT40CM) Period 20180117 - 20180217 Period 20180117 - 20180217 20 ŚTÓV ALT40MW 100 Red- no microwave STDV ALT 40CM Positive = positive impact STDV ALT40CMW 200 Global & Regional 150 BLAS ALT40MW 300 hPa) BIAS ALT40CM BIAS ALT40CMW Blue- no microwave 400 CASES hPa 80 0.147 500 Regional -0.196 600 0 0.294 196 Pre Green-with 700 8 00 0.588 0.588 800 microwave 0.147-0.3920.588 0 900 0 44 an 925 1000 ogical 12 -0.1 0.15 0.2 -0.050.05 0.25 0.3 0.35 Forecast step (h) Forecast step (h) g/Kg

(hPa)

| Table 1: Time schedule for milestone activities. Deliverables: R-Report/peer-review publication; P-Prototype; D-Data | 2018 | | | | 2019 | | | 2020 | | | | 2021 | | | | |
|--|------|---|---|---|------|---|---|------|---|---|---|------|---|---|---|---|
| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| WP1: Develop and apply verification metrics and diagnostics for NWP in the Arctic | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Establish a reference database of well-observed high-impact weather events (T1.1) | | | | D | | | | | | | | D | | | | |
| Develop metrics and diagnostics appropriate for the (maritime) Arctic (T1.2) | | | | | | | R | | | | | | | | | |
| Evaluate the model performance during high-impact weather events (T1.3) | | | | | | | | | R | | | | | | | |
| Analyse the forecast skill of existing and enhanced AROME Arctic (T1.4) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | R |
| Participate in IGP flight campaign (T1.1 and T1.5) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| WP2: Improve the use of Arctic observations for accurate mesoscale forecasts | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Optimized assimilation of satellite observations (T2.1) | | | | | | | | R | | | | | | | | |
| Implement assimilation of satellite observations over sea ice (T2.2) | | | | | | | | | | | R | | | | | |
| Implement flow-dependent DA (T2.3) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | P | |
| Change clear-sky to all-sky radiance assimilation (T2.4) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | R | |
| OSEs to evaluate the benefit of enhancements to the Arctic observing network (T2.5) | | | | | | | | R | | | | | | | | |
| Benefits of a coupled ROMS-CICE-AROME Arctic configuration (T2.6) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | R | | |
| WP3: Enhance and improve NWP model capabilities and diagnostics for high latitudes | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Test a new approach to parameterise heat fluxes in stable boundary layers (T3.1) | | | | | Р | | | | Γ | | | | | | | |
| Identify how interaction between parameterisations causes error compensation (T3.2) | | | Р | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Implement and test stochastic parameterisations of key processes (T3.3) | | | | | | | | | | Р | | | | | | |
| Analyse the sensitivity of fog/icing weather forecasts to cloud microphysics (T3.4) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Analyse the importance of key aspects for the future model development (T3.5) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | R |
| WP4: Develop an Ensemble Prediction System optimized for Arctic conditions | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Run reference experiments and tune for the Arctic (T4.1) | | | | | D | R | | | | | | | | | | |
| Develop methods for perturbing sea ice and sea surface temperature (T4.2) | | | | | | | | | | | | R | | | | |
| Implement and tune EDA in EPS (T4.3) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Implement stochastic physics in EPS and tune spatial and temporal correlations (T4.4) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | R | |
| Select optimum EPS setup for the Arctic (T4.5) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Р |
| WP5: Improve polar prediction through the ALERTNESS value chain | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Project initiation (T5.1) | R | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Management and coordination (T5.2) | | | | | | | | R | | | | | | | | R |
| Communication and dissemination (T5.3) | | R | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Connect weather information and sectoral interests (T5.4) | | | | | | | | | | | | R | | | | |

_ . .

Follow us on <u>www.alertness.no</u> and #alertnessarctic



The primary objective of the Alertness project is to develop world leading, reliable and accurate Arctic weather forecasts and warnings. These will benefit maritime operations, business and society.

News











Research news from the Alertness project.

Access to a large amount of data through the Year Contact information and picture gallery. of Polar Predtiction (YOPP).