rainymotion &

RainNet



DWD

optical flow and deep learning models for radar-based precipitation nowcasting



Niels Landwehr, Tobias Scheffer

Nowcasting



Research topic



Baseline

Persistence, ... ?

No open tool for radar-based precipitation nowcasting

Clear gap to fill

rainymotion



Idea: don't reinvent wheels, *just* combine them!

Conventional approach





Tracking

Extrapolation

rainymotion models

Name	Tracking	Extrapolation
SparseSD	 Shi-Tomasi detector (opencv) Lukas-Kanade optical flow (opencv) 	 Constant delta-change Affine warping (skimage)
Sparse	 Shi-Tomasi detector (opencv) Lukas-Kanade optical flow (opencv) 	 Linear regression (sklearn) Affine warping (skimage)
Dense	 Farnebäck optical flow (opencv) 	Constant-vector advection
DenseRotation	 Farnebäck optical flow (opencv) 	 Semi-Lagrangian advection

rainymotion usage scenario

import rainymotion model
from rainymotion.models import Dense

```
# initialize model instance
model = Dense()
```

load the data using your custom DataLoader function
model.input_data = DataLoader("/path/to/data")

```
# run the model
nowcasts = model.run()
```

rainymotion for Germany





- Establishes a solid baseline
- Outperforms the operational model

rainymotion vs. pySTEPS

- GitHub Stars: 13 vs. 12
- Calculation speed: pySTEPS is faster
- Nowcasting efficiency: rainymotion is better



rainymotion

Code

- github.com/hydrogo/rainymotion
- 1404 lines
- Utils and metrics are included
- Sample data is included
- Installation from source:
 - \$ python setup.py install

Documentation

- rainymotion.readthedocs.io
- Sphinx (sphinx-doc.org)
- Installation, overview (.rst)
- Tutorials, examples (.ipynb)
 - The most challenging part

rainymotion paper

Ayzel, G., Heistermann, M., and Winterrath, T.: Optical flow models as an open benchmark for radar-based precipitation nowcasting (rainymotion v0.1), Geosci. Model Dev. Discuss., doi: 10.5194/gmd-2018-166, in review, 2018.

https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-2018-166 Ø Auhor(s) 2018. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License.		Discuss	ion papers
	Abstract	Discussion	Metrics
		10	Son 201
Model description paper		10	Seh 201
Model description paper Optical flow models as an open benchmark for radar-based precipitation nowcasting (rainymotion v0.1)	Review status — This discussion pa	per is a preprir	nt. It is a

- Model description
- Verification for Germany
- Comparison with the operational model
- Discussion is open until 5th November



RainNet



Deep learning is the new black

Is it ready to conquer nowcasting?

Yes

RainNet development challenges

- We have
- Big data
 - 10 years. Temporal resolution: 5 min
 - 900x900 km. Spatial resolution: 1 km
- Open software
 - Tensorflow, Keras, PyTorch
- Hardware
 - Clusters with Nvidia GPUs

- We have no idea about
- Efficient I/O
- Preprocessing
- Neural network architecture
- Training (optimizer, loss)
- Consequences for humanity

RainNet development challenges

- Efficient I/O
- Preprocessing
- Neural network architecture
- Training (optimizer, loss)
- Consequences for humanity

Individual .npy files (40Gb -> 2Tb)

Сгор, log(X+0.01)

Decoder-encoder, skip connections

Adam, logcosh

Extends predictiability

We spent **more than one year** for this trial-and-error study

RainNet architecture





Keras functional API

- 60 lines of code
- ~ 31.4M parameters
- Requires a lot of RAM
- 1 training epoch ~ 10h

```
def rainnet(input_size=(896, 896, 4)):
```

```
inputs = Input(input_size)
```

```
convif = Conv20(64, 3, padding='same', kernel_initializer='he_normal')(inputs)
convif = Activation("relu")(convif)
convis = Activation("relu")(convis)
convis = Activation("relu")(convis)
pooll = NacBooling2(Dool_izere(2, 2))(convis)
convif = Conv20(128, 3, padding='same', kernel_initializer='he_normal')(pooll)
```

conv2f = Activation("relu")(conv2f) conv2s = Conv20[128, 3, padding="same", kernel_initializer="he_normal")(conv2f) conv2s = Activation("relu")(conv2s) pool2 = MaxPooling20(pool_size(2, 2))(conv2s)

condf = Conv20[266, 3, padding='same', kernel_initializer='he_normal')(pool2) condf = Activation('reiu')(conv3f) conv3s = Conv20[268, 3, padding='same', kernel_initializer='he_normal')(conv3f) conv3s = Activation('reiu')(conv3s) pool3 = Kaksooling00(pool_izer(2, 2))(conv3s)

conv4T = Conv2D(512, 3, apading='same', kernel_initializer='he_normal')(pool3) conv4T = Activati("relu")(conv4F) conv4S = Conv2D(512, 3, apading='same', kernel_initializer='he_normal')(conv4F) conv4S = Conv2D(512, apading='same', kernel_initializer='he_normal')(conv4F) conv4S = Conv2D(512, conv4S) conv4S = Conv2D(512, conv4S) conv4S = Conv2D(512, conv4S) conv4S = Conv2D(512, conv4S)

comv5f = Comv20[1024, 3, padding='same', kernel_initializer='he_normal')[pool4) comv5f = Activation('relu')(comv5f) comv5s = Activation('relu')(comv5f) comv5s = Activation('relu')(comv5s) drop5 = Droput(5.5)(comv5s)

up6 = concatenate([Up6ampling20[size(2, 2])(drop5], conv4s], axiss3) conv6 = Conv20[512, 3, padding='same', kernel_initializer='he_normal')(up6) conv6 = activation("rein"(conv6) conv6 = Conv20[512, 3, padding='same', kernel_initializer='he_normal')(conv6) conv6 = activation("rein")(conv6)

up? = concatents([USBamplingOD(size=(2, 2))(com4), com43), asi=3) com7 = com20(256, 3, padding='same', kernel_initializer='he_normal')(up7) com7 = activalin("relu")(com7) com7 = activalin("relu")(com7) com7 = activalin("relu")(com7)

ug8 = concatentel[U58mplIng20[tites(2, 2)](com7), com23], atis3) com8 = com20[128, 3, padding=:ame', kernel_initializer='he_normal'](up8) com8 = Activation("relu"](com6) com8 = Activation("relu")(com6) com8 = Activation("relu")(com6)

ug9 = constenste([UpSumpling0(size=(2, 2)](com/d), com/s], ssis=3) com/9 = Com/20(64, 3, padding='same', kernel_initializer='he_normal')(up9) com/9 = Activation('reiu')(com/9) com/9 = Activation('reiu')(com/9) com/9 = Com/20(62, 3, activation='reiu', padding='same', kernel_initializer='he_normal')(com/9) com/9 = Com/20(2, 3, activation='reiu', padding='same', kernel_initializer='he_normal')(com/9)

outputs = Conv2D(1, 1, activation='linear')(conv9)

model = Model(inputs=inputs, outputs=outputs)

71 return model

RainNet vs. rainymotion





RainNet is better

What are the reasons behind?

RainNet learns...

RainNet vs. rainymotion

What should I choose?



Summary

- Python and open source software push forward scientific research
- Easy to stay on giants' shoulders (NumPy, SciPy, Xarray, Keras, OpenCV...)

- rainymotion: fast, free and transparent benchmark
- **RainNet:** extends precipitation predictability

Deep learning research has strong hardware limits

Aggressive promotion

Participate in a discussion around our **rainymotion** paper in GMDD



Contact and follow me

@hydrogo89



github.com/hydrogo



t.me/hydrogo