## L-band Satellite Salinity for Ocean/Climate Research and Synergy With Other Measurements

Tony Lee Jet Propulsion Laboratory California Institute of Technology

with contributions from the Aquarius, SMOS, & SMAP teams

Soil Moisture & Ocean Salinity (SMOS) Launched Nov. 2009 ESA Aquarius/SAC-D June 2011-June 2015 NASA/CONAE

The three L-band (~1.4 GHz) satellite missions that have pioneered SSS measurement from space Main Mission Objectives: SMOS: SM & SSS Aquarius: SSS SMAP: SM

Soil Moisture Active Passive (SMAP) Launched Jan. 2015 NASA Aquarius SSS (V4.0) 09/2011-05/2015

~100 km resolution



SMAP: Soil Moisture + Sea Surface Salinity Apr 18 - Apr 25, 2015

	cm	3/cm3	(soil	moist	ure)		_	psu (s	sea sur	fac
0.0	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.4	0.5	0.6	30.0	32.0	34.0	36

_	psu (s	ea sur	face sa	alinity)	
30.0	32.0	34.0	36.0	38.0	40.0

**SMAP** SM & SSS 04/2015 onward

~40 km resolution (similar to SMOS)



## Advantages of satellite Sea Surface Salinity (SSS) (relative to in situ)

- Systematic monitoring of features with smaller scales and shorter periods: important for cross-scale interactions
- More uniform spatiotemporal sampling improves the ability to estimate horizontal gradients: important for frontal genesis, eddy-mean flow interaction, and biogeochemistry
- Global coverage: important for studying teleconnections & land-sea linkages.

L-band radiometry is the only viable technology for systematic, synoptic monitoring of mesoscale SSS, coastal ocean & marginal sea SSS.

## **Complementarity with in-situ observations**

- Linking surface & subsurface structure
- In-situ measurements important to cal/val of satellite SSS
- Stable L-band satellites can help identify mooring conductivity sensor drifts

### Comparison of SMAP & SMOS SSS with mooring 1-m and Argo 2.5 m salinity

Tang et al. (2017, RSE, in press)



### Comparison of SMAP & SMOS SSS with mooring 1-m and Argo 2.5 m salinity



## Summary of key achievements by L-band satellite SSS

- Oceanic features/processes (e.g., hurricane haline wake, TIWs, Rossby waves, river plumes, eddies, fronts, marginal sea salinity, cross-shelf exchanges, dynamics of S<sub>MAX</sub> & S<sub>MIN</sub> zones)
- Linkages with the water cycle (atmosphere, land).
- Relationships with climate variability (MJO, IOD, ENSO, etc.).
- Constraining ocean models & improving seasonal prediction.
- Emerging biogeochemical applications (e.g., TA, ocean acidification, fCO<sub>2</sub>).
- Filling significant SSS observing system gaps (spatiotemporal scales & regions not/inadequately sampled by in-situ platforms).

# Highlights of satellite SSS applications to study ocean & climate processes

(focusing on examples showing advantages of satellite SSS, as well as the synergy with other satellite measurements)

- Tropical instability waves (TIWs)
- Mesoscale eddies
- River plumes & linkages to water cycle
- Relationships to climate variability (MJO example)

## Aquarius & SMOS observed new features of Pacific TIWs Lee et al. (2012), Yin et al. (2014)

#### SSS from Aquarius (color shading), SST (contours in a), surface currents (arrows in b) on Dec. 11, 2011 (7-day maps)

(a) SSS (color) and SST (contour) Reynolds ¼-deg OI



- TIWs are important to ocean, air-sea interaction, & BCG
- Satellite SSS revealed new features of Pacific TIWs



## Aquarius revealed faster TIW speed near than off equator

Lee et al. (2012)

- Twice as fast as that off the equator observed by SST & SSHA (during 2011)
- Not reported in the past few decades of literature



SSS, SST, SSH show strongest signals at 0, 2, 4N, complementary

## TIWs near the equator: 17-day dominant period (Yanai Waves) TIWs away from equator: 33-day dominant period (Rossby waves)



## SMOS data revealed interannual variation of TIW speed at the equator, faster during La Nina



## Aquarius reveals importance of salinity in energy budget of Tropical Atlantic instability waves (Lee et al 2014)



### Surface EPE considering both SST & SSS effects

(b) Potential engery considering both SST & SSS effects (20-50 day instability waves)



Science question: How important is salinity to Tropical Atlantic TIW energetics?

**Result: i**gnoring SSS effect would under-estimate TIW-related Eddy Potential Energy (EPE) by 3 times

### Significance/implication:

- SSS need to be considered in energy budget and studies of wavemean flow interaction.
- Revisit the relative roles of baroclinic vs barotropic instabilites

## SMOS reveal SSS structure of the Gulf Stream & cold-core eddies with unprecedented spatiotemporal resolutions

## Reul et al. (2014)



- Cold/fresh Core rings are better captured by SSS than SST during summer.
- Implication: cross-gyre salt transport by eddies

Several related studies (focusing on cross-shelf exchanges):

e.g., Grodsky, S.A., Reul, N., Chapron, et al. (2017). Interannual Surface Salinity in Northwest Atlantic Shelf, JGR, 122, 3638–3659.

## Improving environmental assessment: SMAP sea surface salinity & soil moisture during & after the May'15 extreme flooding event in Texas

SMAP SSS & SM - 2015-04-04



Unusually large freshwater plume in the central Gulf of Mexico was caused by runoff to Texas shelf (*Fournier et al. 2016a*)

## Modulation of the Bay of Bengal river plume by the Indian Ocean Dipole (IOD) and ocean eddies inferred from satellite data

(Fournier et al. 2017a)



SMAP SSS for November 2015 & 2016 with ocean surface currents superimposed. Thick arrows highlight eddies.

Science question: What processes influence the variability of the climatically and biologically important Ganges-Brahmaputra (GB) River plume in the Bay of Bengal (BoB)?

Finding: (1) Negative IOD in 2016 caused a stronger East India Coastal Current (EEIC) that carried the GB river plume ~600 km further south. (2) Ocean mesoscale eddies help transport river freshwater plume offshore.

**Significance/implication**: Satellite SSS provide new resources to monitor intraseasonal to interannual variability of the GB river plume & study its impacts on monsoon, cyclones, and biological productivity.

## Satellite SSS provide much better spatiotemporal coverage



(a) September-November 2015 Argo 5-m SSS measurements averaged within 1 degree pixels and (b) September-November 2015 average map of SMAP SSS (complete coverage was actually achieved in 8 days)

Fournier et al. (2017a)

## Improvement of new SMOS SSS product & consistency with SMAP SSS



New SMOS SSS product (CATDS, Boutin et al. 2017) brought significant improvements in marginal seas & coastal oceans.

New SMOS SSS very consistent with SMAP SSS

SMOS & SMAP SSS further enhanced sampling



Image credit: Severine Fournier

## Sea surface salinity (SSS) & the Madden-Julian Oscillation (MJO) (Guan et al. 2014)

Contribution of SST & SSS to surface density anomalies during a composite MJO life cycle



**Problem:** Relative contributions of SSS & SST to MJO-related changes in ocean surface density are poorly known.

**Finding:** Aquarius SSS together with satellite SST suggest that SSS has a similar or larger contribution as SST to MJO-related variation in surface density.

Significance/Implication: Modeling and assimilation products need to properly account for SSS effects in order to correctly represent mixed layer variability associated with the MJO and the related ocean-atmosphere coupling.

Need PMW SST as well as SSS for convective systems like MJO!

## Use of satellite SSS for constraining E-P forcing & ocean models

## **Ocean model salinity are affected by:**

- significant E-P forcing error;
- use of river discharge climatology;
- relaxation to SSS climatology;
- errors in model physics (e.g., advection & mixing) & numerics

## Satellite SSS have the potential alleviate these limitations

## Large spread among 12 E-P products (Yu et al. 2017)



## Lack of interannual variations of SSS near river mouths in ocean models

SSS near the Mississippi River mouth from Aquarius, SMOS, and an operational global ocean assimilation product



Significant implications to marine biogeochemistry

## Impact of satellite SSS assimilation on inverse estimate of E-P variability in GECCO2 (Köhl et al. 2014)



## Improvements of JMA/MRI global ocean data assimilation system by assimilating Aquarius SSS (Toyoda et al. 2014)

**Example for North Pacific Mode Water distribution in winter of 2012** 

(a) MLD CTL Feb 2012





## **Assimilation of Aquarius SSS & AVHRR SST improves representation of ocean surface currents** (Chakraborty et al. 2014) Spatial amplitude of the 1<sup>st</sup> EOF mode of surface currents



## Impact of satellite SSS assimilation on seasonal-tointerannual prediction for 2011-2014



ASSIM\_T<sub>z</sub>: baseline experiment, assimilation of all subsurface temperature data. ASSIM\_T<sub>z</sub>\_SSS<sub>IS</sub>: assimilation of all subsurface temperature and in-situ salinity data. ASSIM\_T<sub>z</sub>\_SSS<sub>AQ</sub>: assimilation of all subsurface temperature and Aquarius SSS data. The latter has higher correlation & lower RMSE wrt observed SST for lead times > 4 months.

Need long data record (covering many ENSO events) to establish the robustness of impacts on prediction -> continuity of L-band mission important

# Ongoing work based on NASA/GMAO ocean data assimilation & coupled model hindcasts

**Experiment Design** 

Experiment Name	Period	Assimilation Variables	
ASSIM_SL_SST_T <sub>z</sub> _S <sub>z</sub> "Control"	Jan 1993 – Dec 2016	SL, SST, $\mathrm{T_z}$ and $\mathrm{S_z}$	
ASSIM_SL_SST_SSS_T <sub>z</sub> _S <sub>z</sub> Known as "SSS Assimilation"	Sep 2011 – Dec 2016*	SSS from Aquarius Version 4.0 combined with SMAP Version 2.0 Level 3 data and SL, SST, $T_z$ , and $S_z$	

Courtesy of Eric Hackert NASA/GMAO



## **SMOS-NINO15** Project funded by ESA

Coordinated experiments between **UK Met Office & Mercator Ocean** to investigate the impacts of assimilating satellite SSS from SMOS, Aquarius and SMAP on simulating the 2015/16 El Nino period.



Courtesy of Matt Martin and Benoit Tranchant (Mercator Ocean)

## **Ongoing effort for satellite SSS assimilation at UKMO**

## Spatial information in satellite SSS data

Martin, M.J., 2016, doi:10.1016/j.rse.2016.02.004.





### SST honizontal



- SSS fronts agree reasonably well between model and obs.
- SMOS data shows some frontal structures in the main part of the Gulf Stream which the model doesn't represent.
- Surface warming has masked the underlying structures in SST in August.

## Courtesy of Matt Martin, UK Met Office

## **Other ongoing efforts for satellite SSS assimilation**

- Estimating the Circulation & Climate of the Ocean (ECCO) 4D-VAR
- NOAA: Global Real-time Ocean Forecasting System (RTOFS); West Coast Ocean Forecasting System (WCOFS)
- Chinese National Marine Environmental Forecasting Center



partnership with the US Navy.

November 2017: ECCO Tutorial @ Ocean Sciences 2018:

## **Future challenges & requirements for satellite SSS**

(based on community inputs to US Decadal Survey 2017-2027)

- Continuity to extend data record
- Enhancing spatial resolution and getting closer to the coasts.
- Improving accuracy, esp. at high-latitude oceans

## Community white papers in response to US Decadal Survey advocating for future requirements of satellite SSS

Response to Decadal Survey RFI: Linkage of the Water Cycle, Ocean Circulation, and Climate

Tong Lee (Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology)

#### US co-authors (in last-name alphabetical order):

Eric Bayler (National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration) Fred Bingham (University of North Carolina Wilmington) Frank Bryan (National Center for Atmospheric Research) Subrahmanyam Bulusu (University of South Carolina) Jim Carton (University of Maryland) Kyla Drushka (University of Washington) Paul Durack (Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory) Rana Fine (University of Miami) Arnold Gordon (Columbia University) Sevmon Grodsky (University of Maryland) Eric Hackert (University of Maryland) Gary Lagerloef (Earth and Space Research) Tim Liu (Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology) Ricardo Matano (Oregon State University) Thomas Meissner (Remote Sensing Systems, Inc.) Julian Schanze (Earth and Space Research) Ray Schmitt (Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution) Tony Song (Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology) Graeme Stephens (Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology) Nadya Vinogradova (Atmospheric and Environmental Research) Frank Wentz (Remote Sensing Systems, Inc.) Pingping Xie (National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration) Lisan Yu (Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution) Simon Yueh (Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology) Non US co-authors (in last-name alphabetical order): Aida Alvera Azcarate (University of Liège, Belgium) Chris Bank (National Oceanographic Centre, UK) Jacqueline Boutin (University of Paris, France) Christine Gommenginger (National Oceanographic Centre, UK) Johnny Johannessen (Nensen Environmental and Remote Sensing Center, Norway) Nicolas Kolodziejczyk (University of Brest, France) Armind Köhl (University of Hamburg, Germany) Christophe Maes (French Research Inst. for Exploitation of the Sea -IFREMER, France) Nicolas Reul (French Research Inst. for Exploitation of the Sea -IFREMER, France) Gilles Reverdin (University of Paris, France) Monica Rhein (University of Bremen, German) Roberto Sabia (European Space Agency ESRIN, Italy) Meric Srokosz (National Oceanographic Centre, UK) Detlef Stammer (University of Hamburg, Germany) Antonio Turiel (Institute of Marine Sciences, Spain) Susan Wijffels (Commonwealth Scientific & Industrial Research Organization, Australia) Response to NRC 2017-2027 Decadal Survey Request for Information #2:

#### "Linkages of salinity with ocean circulation, water cycle, and climate variability"

Tong Lee (Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology) Simon Yueh (Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology) Gary Lagerloef (Earth and Space Research) Mike Steele (University of Washington) Andrew Thompson (California Institute of Technology) Mar Flexas (California Institute of Technology) Arnold Gordon (Columbia University) Shannon Brown (Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology) Tim Liu (Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology)

#### Other US co-authors (in last-name alphabetical order):

Eric Bayler (National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration) Fred Bingham (University of North Carolina Wilmington) Subrahmanyam Bulusu (University of South Carolina) Kyla Drushka (University of Washington) Paul Durack (Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory) Seymon Grodsky (University of Maryland) Ricardo Matano (Oregon State University) Georgy Manucharayan (California Institute of Technology) Thomas Meissner (Remote Sensing Systems) Nadya Vinogradova (Atmospheric and Environmental Research) Frank Wentz (Remote Sensing Systems) Lisan Yu (Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution)

#### Non US co-authors

Georg Heygster (University of Bremen, Germany) Jacqueline Boutin (University of Paris, France) Benjamin Rabe (Alfred-Wegener-Institut Helmholtz Center, Germany) Aida Alvera Azcarate (University of Liège, Belgium) Christine Gommenginger (National Oceanographic Centre, UK) Marcos Portabella (Institute of Marine Sciences, Spain) Nicolas Reul (French Research Inst. for Exploitation of the Sea –IFREMER, France) Monica Rhein (University of Bremen, German) Roberto Sabia (Telespazio-Vega UK Ltd. For European Space Agency) Meric Srokosz (National Oceanographic Centre, UK) Detlef Stammer (University of Hamburg, Germany)

## **Continuity to extend data record**

- Important for monitoring changes in the water cycle
- Necessary for studying and predicting seasonal, interannual, and decadal climate variability.



# Enhancing spatial resolution and getting closer to the coasts

- Meso- & sub-mesoscale ocean dynamics
- Shelf-open ocean interactions
- Linkage of ocean and terrestrial element of the water cycle.
- Importance to biogeochemistry.

## Spatial scales to resolve: the Rossby radius



## Improving high-latitude SSS accuracies

## L-band SSS accuracies: Tropics & subtropics

Zonally averaged STD of ΔSSS for (Aquarius - Argo-SIO) & (Argo-SIO - Argo-UH)



## Community input to US Decadal Survey: adding P-band to L-band to improve high-latitude SSS & sea ice thickness measurements





SST(C)

## **Additional values of P-band radiometry**

- Improving sea ice thickness measurements by complementing radar and L-band radiometry measurements
- Better thickness measurements for 1<sup>st</sup>year ice in turn help improve SSS retrievals near sea ice.
- Other applications: ice shelf, land (e.g., soil moisture, evapotranspiration).

## Sea-ice thickness measurement error (Kaleschke et al. 2015)









## Summary

- L-band satellite SSS have demonstrated the values to improve
  - the understanding of ocean processes and their linkages with the water cycle & climate variability;
  - environmental monitoring/assessment;
  - ocean state estimation & seasonal prediction
    - Important to understanding satellite SSS error characteristics, and take into account sampling differences from in-situ data
- L-band SSS have unique advantages while being synergistic to other observations to study Earth System Science.
- Requirements for future satellite SSS:
  - Continuity
  - Enhancing resolution
  - Improving accuracy, esp. in high-latitude oceans
    - Technology; retrievals

## Backup

## **Main Sources of validation data for Satellite SSS**

Distribution of Argo floats



Also ship-based measurements, esp. high-resolution thermosalinograph (TSG) data

## Two important issues in assessing the accuracies of satellite SSS

## 1. Sampling differences between satellite & in-situ measurements

- Satellite SSS: averages within footprints (& time windows for L-3 data)
- In-situ measurements: point-wise, instantaneous
- Significant differences between the two in regions of strong spatiotemporal variability (e.g., rain bands, river plumes, strong eddying currents)
- Caution needed for interpreting differences between satellite & in situ salinity differences (esp. for level-2 SSS & "co-located" individual in-situ data)

## 2. Effect of near-surface salinity stratification

- Satellites measure salinity in the upper cm
- Most in-situ measurements are >= 5 m (Argo) or >= 1 m (mooring)
- Importance of salinity stratification in the upper meter under certainty conditions (e.g., during SPURS & SPURS2 field campaigns)

## High-res TSG observations show large std. dev. of SSS within 100km intervals in regions with strong variability



## STD of SSS Difference for Aquarius - Argo-SIO & Argo-SIO vs. Argo-UH for different spatial scales (Lee 2016)



## "Global" (65N-65S) area-weighted averages STD of SSS differences for Aquarius vs. Argo-SIO & Argo-SIO vs. Argo-UH for different spatial scales: seasonal time scales

Table 1. Globally averaged standard deviation values (in psu) between Aquarius and Argo-SIO and between Argo-SIO and Argo-UH.

	1°x1°	3°x3°	10°x10°
Aquarius vs. Argo- SIO (total)	0.16	0.14	0.09
Argo-SIO vs. Argo- UH (total)	0.10	0.09	0.04
Aquarius vs. Argo- SIO (seasonal)	0.11	0.11	0.07
Argo-SIO vs. Argo- UH (seasonal)	0.06	0.05	0.02
Aquarius vs. Argo- SIO (non-seasonal)	0.10	0.09	0.05
Argo-SIO vs. Argo- UH (non-seasonal)	0.07	0.06	0.03

- Uncertainty of Aquarius SSS in estimating large-scale SSS changes is < 0.05 psu on monthly & longer time scales.</li>
- Time averaging could further reduce the uncertainty.

## **Global STD of Aquarius-Argo SSS for various spatial & temporal scales**



## **Global RMSD of Aquarius-Argo SSS for various spatial & temporal scales**



## Time-mean SSS (09/2011-05/2015)



## Where to get satellite SSS products?

## For all Aquarius & SMAP SSS: https://podaac.jpl.nasa.gov/



## Where to get satellite SSS products? (cont'd)

## For all L-band satellite SSS:

ESA funded SMOS Pilot Mission Exploitation Platform (SMOS Pi-MEP):

https://pimep-project.odl.bzh/data

has http links to various level-2 to level-4 satellite SSS products



## **Other useful resources:**

Satellite and In-situ Salinity (SISS) Working Group: <u>http://siss.locean-ipsl.upmc.fr/</u> Aquarius: <u>https://aquarius.nasa.gov</u>

# Indirect effect of salinity on SST (thus air-sea interaction): example – effects of the barrier layer

**Barrier layer**: a S stratified, T uniform layer below the mixed layer but within the isothermal layer

Barrier layer tends to inhibit the vertical mixing of heat between the mixed layer and thermocline; amplifies SST response to surface heat flux.



(e.g., Lukas & Lindstrom 1991, Sprintall & Tomczak 1982, Maes et al. 2005)

"Take a grain of salt when studying SST"

## SSS as an indicator of global water cycle changes

- Large uncertainties in E-P estimates
- Difficulty to measure global river discharges
- SSS directly respond to E-P, river discharge, and sea ice formation/melt

## Subtropical N.Atl. SSS as a predictor of Terrestrial Rainfall



Li et al. (2016a, Science Advance): for Sahel rainfall Li et al. (2016b, J. Clim): for US Midwest rainfall

## SSS trend 1950-2000: intensification of global water cycle?

Durack et al. (2012, Science)



## A combined land/sea assessment of the impacts of the May 2015 severe Texas flooding event





May and August 2015 GPM precipitation, SMAP soil moisture and sea surface salinity (SSS) and MODIS Ocean Color.. Vectors: JASON-2 surface currents.

August 2015 surface current speed (JASON-2) showing the Loop Current, its eddy, and schematics of the flow pattern that shaped the "horseshoe" freshwater plume **Problem:** How does the May'15 severe flooding in Texas affect terrestrial hydrologic conditions, marine environment, and their linkage?

**Finding:** Intense rainfall in May'15 over Texas caused saturated soil & record river discharges into the Gulf of Mexico (GoM). The unusually strong Loop Current & its eddy shaped the freshwater into a "horseshoe" pattern, affecting regions not normally influenced by river plume.

**Significance**: Implications to the extent of the GoM hypoxic zone and the Flower Garden Bank coral reef ecosystem. Multi-variate satellite observations (e.g., SMAP, GPM/TRMM, MODIS, JASON-2, GRACE, and SMOS) are essential to provide integrated assessment of land/sea impacts associated with flooding.

Fournier S., J.T. Reager, T. Lee, J. Vasquez, C. David, & M. Gierach (Aug. 2016, GRL).

## Improving ancillary data (e.g., SST) for SSS retrievals at high-latitude oceans also important

- L-band brightness temperature has weak sensitivity to SSS at high latitudes
- accuracy of ancillary SST becomes more important
- but high-latitude satellite SST also have significant errors

Comparison of GHRSST blended SST products an Arctic buoy SST

