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Diabatic processes in the MJO

- Interaction between diabatic processes and large-scale circulation thought to be crucial for the MJO and its representation in models.
- What component is most important? Role of heating vs. role of moistening.
- Uncertainty in shape, tilt and magnitude of heating profiles from observations/reanalysis.

MJO composite heating anomalies from re-analysis products (top row) and satellite retrievals (bottom row), Jiang et al (2009, 2011).
Experiment Design

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Experiment 1</th>
<th>Overall MJO activity</th>
<th>UCLA/JPL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20-year integrations</td>
<td>Global teleconnections</td>
<td>X. Jiang</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean state errors</td>
<td>D. Waliser</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Experiment 2</td>
<td>Timestep-level analysis</td>
<td>Met Office</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-day hindcasts</td>
<td>Physical tendencies</td>
<td>P. Xavier</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Initial drift</td>
<td>J. Petch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Experiment 3</td>
<td>Predictability</td>
<td>NCAS / Reading</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20-day hindcasts</td>
<td>Drift towards attractor</td>
<td>N. Klingaman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Link experiments 1 &amp; 2</td>
<td>S. Woolnough</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All data are now available! [https://earthsystemcog.org/projects/gass-yotc-mip/](https://earthsystemcog.org/projects/gass-yotc-mip/)
Endorsed by GASS, MJO Task Force and YoTC
Propagation in the Indian Ocean: Role of air-sea coupling
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Normalised Gross Moist Stability

- Essentially the ratio of (a) to (b), where
  - (a) = Change of moist entropy within the column
  - (b) = Convective intensity within the column

- Efficiency with which convection removes moisture from the column, relative to import from large-scale convergent circulation.

- Positive NGMS (stratiform heating): convection removes moist static energy from column very efficiently → damps instabilities.

- Negative NGMS (shallow/congestus heating): convection is inefficient in removing moist static energy → promotes instabilities.
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Models with high MJO fidelity (by propagation) show tilted heating, as well as stronger easterly anomalies and warm temperatures to the east of MJO convection.
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Hindcast experiments

- 20-day hindcasts
  - initialized every day in the **blue** rectangles (47 days per case) to capture MJO genesis and lysis at 10 days’ lead time (**pink** rectangles)
  - 3-hr output: prognostic and surface fields globally; sub-grid tendencies (T, q, u, v) 50S-50N

- 2-day hindcasts
  - initialized every day in the **black** rectangle (22 days/case)
  - timestep output over Warm Pool (10S-10N, 60E-180)
Most models are unable to forecast the transition from the suppressed to the convective phase.
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Total cloud fraction in 75-80E, 0-5N

- There are disparities among models in the vertical profiles of cloud cover in all phases.
- There is also variability in the differences between the suppressed and convective phases (e.g., CNRM shows large differences between these phases, while GEOS5 shows almost no difference).
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Radiative-heating rates during the convective phase
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Differences in temperature and specific humidity

Differences computed against high-resolution YoTC analyses (from ECMWF)

Some upper-level temperature features linked to differences in radiative-heating profiles and in vertical profiles of cloud cover.
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Models that maintain the observed RMM amplitude tend to have high bi-variate correlations, but not vice versa.
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Hindcast vs. climate performance

20-day hindcasts vs. 20-year climate

20-day hindcasts vs. 2-day hindcasts
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Diabatic heating profiles

Panels are ordered by model performance.

Composite heating profiles for rain-rate quartiles from dry to wet. Black line for rates < 1 mm/day.

No relationship between shape of the heating profile and model performance.
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Net moistening profiles

Composite net moistening \((dq/dt)\) profiles for rain-rate quartiles from dry to wet. Black line for rates < 1 mm/day.

Models with better performance have low and/or mid-tropospheric moistening in driest two precipitation quartiles.
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Net moistening profiles

Higher-performing models (like CAM5-ZM) show a clear transition from low-level moistening for light rainrates to upper-level moistening for heavy rainrates.
Net moistening metric

The net moistening metric also distinguishes between higher- and lower-fidelity models in the 20-year climate simulations. The metric accounts for variations in performance between the two experiments.
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**RH-precipitation metric**

a. RH difference: 20-day hindcasts

b. RH difference: 20-day vs. 20-year

850-500 hPa mean RH

Difference between heaviest 5% and lightest 10% of daily rain rates at each gridpoint, averaged over the Warm Pool

High values indicate ability to suppress rainfall in dry environments.

Lower correlations between this metric and fidelity in 20-day hindcasts than with fidelity in climate simulations.
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Summary and conclusions

• The “Vertical structure and physical processes of the MJO” project provides a rich dataset, including sub-grid tendencies, for analysis of many phenomena beyond the MJO. Data are available! https://earthsystemcog.org/projects/gass-yotc-mip/

• We found a modest relationship between MJO fidelity and net moistening, with the highest-fidelity models showing low- and mid-level moistening at light to moderate rain rates, from both sub-gridscale physics and resolved dynamics.

• We found no relationships between MJO fidelity and the shape of the diabatic-heating profile or its evolution with increasing precipitation rate.

• Models that performed well in hindcast mode (for these two cases) did not necessarily perform well in climate mode, and vice versa. The net-moistening metric accounts for these variations in performance.