The Turbulent Kinetic Energy (TKE) scheme in the NWP models at Météo-France

E. Bazile,

Thanks to : P. Marquet, Y. Bouteloup, F. Bouyssel, S. Malardel



Workshop on diurnal cycles and the stable boundary layer 7-10 November 2011 ECMWF, reading, UK



Outline

- 1. The context 5 years ago
- 2. TKE scheme and the PBL parameterization
- 3.3D validation in ARPEGE/ALADIN/AROME
- 4. Problems ...
- **5.** Conclusions and Perspectives





In ARPEGE/ALADIN. PBL parameterization: Louis (79) with a modified Ri for the shallow convection (Geleyn, 87) → PBL is too dry partly due to an excess of mixing. Used until feb 2009

• Convergence for the physical parameterization between ARPEGE/ALADIN NWP and ARPEGE-Climat.

• AROME project= ALADIN NH + Méso-NH physics with LBC from ALADIN-MF now from ARPEGE

• The new physic for the PBL in ARPEGE/ALADIN NWP and in ARPEGE-Climat is based on a TKE scheme (Cuxart et al. 2000) and a shallow convection scheme (Bechtold et al. 2001)

•Advantages : <u>Better consistency between AROME and ARPEGE</u> /ALADIN for the PBL and share the problems !

But more validations at various scales (500 km → 2.5 km), time-step (1800s - 60s), global budget, 1D comparison (Sodankyla, Cabauw etc ...), 1D case GABLS, ARM-Cu, ASTEX, etc ...





Operational Weather forecasting at Météo-France: ARPEGE/ALADIN and AROME



4-day forecasts every 6 hours dx=10 km on France, 55km on Australia dt=10mn
Stretching factor c=2.4 and turning of the pole over the zone of interest
Stretched vertical grid with 70 levels
4DVar Inc Data Assimilation system
(T107 25iter and T323 30iter dx=60km)

Cloud Resolving Model AROME 30 h forecasts every 6h dx=2.5 km, 60 Levels, time-step=1mn (SL) 3D Var Data Assimilation system (RUC3h)





ALADIN : 54h h forecasts every 6h dx=7.8 km, 70levels, time-step=450s (SL) 3DVar Data Assimilation system

PBL parametrization (before Feb. 2009) used in ARPEGE/ALADIN

with Louis

jours un temps d'avance

How to compute the subgrid flux ? $\overline{w'\psi'}$ - with a diffusion scheme: $\overline{w'\psi'} = -K \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial z}$ -with a mass flux scheme : $\overline{w'\psi'} = -M(\psi - \psi_{updraft})$ (used only for deep convection in the 90's) Louis (79) propose to compute K as follows: $K_{\psi} = l_m \cdot l_{\psi} \left| \frac{\partial \vec{U}}{\partial z} \right| F_{\psi}(R_i)$

And to "simulate" the mixing done by the shallow convection, a enhanced Ri is used following Geleyn 87 :

$$R_{i} = \frac{g}{c_{p}T} \frac{\partial s / \partial z + L \min(0, \partial (q - q_{s}) / \partial z)}{\left| \partial \vec{u} / \partial z \right|^{2}}$$

But the PBL was too dry partly due to an excess of mixing with an underestimation of the stratocumulus and low cloud





TKE scheme in ARPEGE/ALADIN

- The TKE is computed on the « half-level », the levels of the exchange coefficients for momentum (Km) and temperature (Kt)
- The top-PBL entrainment is parametrized following the ideas of Grenier and Bretherton (2001)
- The moist fluxes are computed with $\, heta_{_{vl}}$ and the Betts variables $\, heta_{_l}$ and $\,q_T$
- The sub-grid variance of cloud water is computed with a "mixture" of a symmetric (Gaussian) and asymmetric (Exponential) for the Cumulus and the strato-cumulus respectively (Bougeault 82 and Bechtold 95)

Since April 2010 (T538L60→ T798L70 with 14 levels below 1500m):

- The top entrainment was switch off
- TKE is advected with the semi-lagrangian scheme. TKE is interpolated on the full-level after the physic for the advection and then go back to the half-level for the physic → small impact and only positive for the wind gust diagnostic





Impact of the TKE advection



GEOPOTENTIEL:P7570.r 00/TP(Ref)-P7577.r 00/TP(Exp)

10 simulations (500 hPa) de 96 h du 20090601 au 20090614



Stability: T538c2.4 dt=900s (15km over France) Temperature at Level 60 (1st level above the surface)



Observer & comprendre

Louis's scheme with antifibrillation (XMULAF=-1.85) max=7.8°C Mean=0.1

TKE without antifibrillation scheme max=2.9°C mean=0.02 Less noisy and less dependant of the time step





Wind anomaly 850hPa vs ECMWF analysis



Toujours un temps d'avance

Observer & comprendre

For the wind problem ...

- 1. Increase the wind mixing with new values for the TKE scheme
- 2. Modify the mixing length with the shallow convection scheme and additional term for the thermal production

$$K_{u} = \alpha_{u} \cdot l \cdot \sqrt{e_{T}} \qquad K_{\theta} = \alpha_{\theta} \cdot l \cdot \sqrt{e_{T}} \cdot \phi_{\theta}$$

$$\alpha_{u} = 0.0667 \rightarrow 0.126 \qquad \alpha_{\theta} = 0.16675 \rightarrow 0.142$$

$$\frac{\partial \overline{e_{T}}}{\partial t} = P_{d} + P_{\theta} - \frac{\partial \overline{w'e_{T}}}{\partial z} - c_{\varepsilon} \frac{\overline{e_{T}}^{3/2}}{l_{\varepsilon}} \qquad C_{\varepsilon} = 0.7 \rightarrow 0.85$$

$$\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left(\rho \cdot C_{e} \cdot l \cdot \sqrt{\overline{e_{T}}} \cdot \frac{\partial e_{T}}{\partial z} \right)$$

$$C_{E} = 0.4 \rightarrow 0.34$$

Dbserver & comprendre

Link between shallow convection and TKE



Impact of the thermal production from KFB for the TKE and the modified mixing length. Diff of RMS error for wind



Zonal mean over the tropical area of the Kinetic energy (J/kg)





Impact of the thermal production from KFB for the TKE and the modified mixing length.



More TKE with Lm increased → more mixing





Impact of the thermal production from KFB for the TKE and the modified mixing length.





METEO FRANCE Toujours un temps d'avance



3D NWP validation GPCI : Gewex Pacific Cross-section Intercomparison

Less than 10%

METEO FRANCE Toujours un temps d'avance





Physics modifications impact on the Gewex Pacific Cross-section Intercomparison (July 2009)







Toujours un temps d'avance

3D NWP validation Total Cloud Cover bias Model - ISCCP



better estimation of stratocumulus on the eastern border of anticyclone





Fog over Garonne Valley 12/01/2009



It was necessary to modify the deep convection scheme due to the new PBL parameterization







It was necessary to modify the deep convection scheme due to the new PBL parameterization







Impact of the new PBL parameterization (TKE+KFB) on Temperature



June 2007 Improvement:blue

Erreur Moyenne de prévision de la température (en K) par rapport aux radiosondages



Annual mean bias T850hPa vs Rs Europe 48h





10 days forecast Nov.2009 → Feb.2010 (120 forecast)



Observer & comprendre

Some weaknesses ...

- We still have warm bias → interaction with the surface and the snow scheme
- 2. Following Galperin et al 2007 and Zilitinkevich et al 2008 turbulence survives for Ri>>1. It is not the case with TKE ...



Sodankyla T2m 20100211 starting at 12UTC

From http://fminwp.fmi.fi/mastverif/mastverif.html





Impact of Phi3=f(Ri) in ARPEGE 3D



Phi3=f(Ri) increases the humidity in the PBL \rightarrow more low cloud





Conclusions

• The new subgrid vertical mixing (TKE + KFB), implemented in ARPEGE/ALADIN (Feb 2009):

 positive impact on the temperature and the relative humidity in the PBL, improves the low level jet in stable case

•Better representation for the low-level clouds (fog) and the transition between strato-cumulus to deep convection along the GPCI transect

 \cdot requires new tunings for the deep convections scheme \rightarrow improves the precipitation distribution and QPF

•1D experiment are very useful even if the final tuning requires to going back and forth between 1D and 3D

- Problems: warm bias during winter over snow, critical Ri?
- Try to use the Total Turbulent Energy (Mauritsen et al 2007)





Dome C / Concordia : a very convenient site to study snow-atmosphere interactions : GABLS (3 + n) ?



- High frequency parameters (10 Hz) from 6 ultra-sonic anemometers : 3D Wind components and sonic temperature
- Low frequency parameters (30 min) : air temperature (ventilated and not ventilated), relative humidity, wind speed and direction (Young)
- 1 minute solar radiation components
- Sub and surface temperatures

Thanks to O. Traullé (MF), Gert König Langlo (AWI for PMR, Bremerhaven, De) Christian Lanconelli (ISAC, Bologna, It), Andrea Pellegrini (ENEA, Roma, It), Eric Fossat (LUAN, Nice, Fr), Christophe Genthon (LGGE, Grenoble, Fr)





INSU bserver & comprendre



Boundary layer observation from a 45m tower (LGGE) for stand-alone simulations and models evaluation



Laboratoire de Glaciologie et Géophysique de l'Environnement

Characteristics of the coupled simulation

E. Brun et al (2011) Journal of Glaciology (vol52)

- AROME : regional model for Numerical Weather Prediction
 - 2.5km , non-hydrostatic, domain 625 x 625 km² centered around Dome C
 - 60 vertical levels → bottom 3 levels : 8.5 , 27 and 51 m
 - − Turbulent Kinetic Energy as a prognostic variable → turbulent fluxes
 - boundary conditions and daily initial states from ARPEGE
 - ARPEGE: global model stretched over Antartica, 4D-Var
 - ARPEGE/IFS library : Météo-France, ECMWF, ALADIN/HIRLAM, Meso-NH
- Fully coupling between snowcover and the atmosphere thanks to SURFEX (externalized land surface model)
- Cycling of the snow cover throughout the 11-day simulation



Simulation of the propagation of the diurnal heat waves inside the snowcover

E. Brun et al (2011) Journal of Glaciology (vol52)

