Microwave radiative transfer in support of cloud and precipitation assimilation

Ralf Bennartz Atmospheric and Oceanic Sciences University of Wisconsin - Madison

Outline

Fundamentals

Microwave RT for data assimilation

- o Microwave properties of clouds and precipitation
- o Radiative transfer solver
- o How important is scattering?
- o Integration with NWP models

Status



Fundamentals



Fundamentals



Fundamental observable: attenuation due to **liquid and rain column** Precipitation ice scattering: Increasing effect at higher frequencies

Modeling Chain



Microwave Optical Properties Assessment

Spheres (Mie theory)

- ✓ JCSDA (snow, graupel, hail)
- ✓ Surussavadee and Staelin (2006)
- ✓ Dielectric mixing rules



Non-spherical (Discrete Dipole Approximation - DDA)

- ✓ Liu (2004,2008)
- ✓ Kim et al. (2007)
- ✓ Hong (2007)

Microwave Optical Properties Assessment

Liu (2004,2008)





Unrealistic results spheres and too spherical DDA

RT Solvers:

> Two community efforts:

- o RTTOV (Supported by EUMETSAT's NWP-SAF)
 - Non-scattering RT
 - Two-Stream Delta-Eddington
- o CRTM (Supported by JCSDA)
 - Non-scattering RT
 - ADA (Advanced Doubling and Adding)
 - SOI (Successive Order of Interaction)

RT solvers accuracy tests



RT solvers speed tests



- Uses core CRTM routines
- About 10 20 % speed increase due to truncated doubling and iteration instead of adding

Results from Greenwald

RT solvers accuracy tests



How important is scattering?

$$\delta_{S}(z) = \int_{z}^{TOA} k_{S}(z) dz$$
$$\delta_{S,Eff}(z) = \int_{z}^{TOA} k_{S}(z) e^{-\delta_{A}(z)} dz$$

Effective scattering optical depth gives an upper limit for the amount of scattering influencing the TOA radiance field

$$0 \le \frac{\delta_{S,eff}(z)}{\delta_S(z)} \le 1$$

Integration with NWP models: Overlap



From Geer et al. (2009)

Going to higher spatial resolution













Status

- RT Solvers
 - ✓ Various available and integrated into CRTM/RTTOV
 - ✓ Work to be done to find good compromise accuracy vs. speed
- Optical properties
 - Active/passive evaluation of various optical property models ongoing
 - Allows realistic estimate of observation error
 - Inclusion into RT LUTs still outstanding
- Observation error characteristics
 - Realistic estimates of observation error
 - What to do when global NWP models reach resolution of passive MW sensors or for mesoscale models in general? Abandon overlap for slant models?

Recommendations

- Short term
 - Various smaller improvements (e.g. assessment of effective scattering optical depth for model selection, optical properties databases)

✓ Pave way for models with higher spatial resolution.

- Longer-tem
 - Treatment of higher frequencies, full use of scattering information (Requires significant advances in interface to cloud physics)
 - ✓ Error covariances