Working Group 1: use and interpretation of medium and extended range forecast guidance

Severe and high-impact weather events



Working Group – use and interpretation Severe or high-impact weather events

- What products will help users in providing early warnings of severe events?
- What should we expect from the forecasting system at 3 days, 1 week, 1 month, 1 season?
- How important is post-processing/calibration of model data?
- How can we verify early warnings of severe weather?
 - Extreme/rare events
 - Sample size
 - Observations how do we know what happened?
 - Extrapolate from moderate events
 - What scores to use
- How should case studies be used (consider false alarms and missed events)?



Products for severe events

- > Tropical cyclone tracks and strike probabilities are very useful
 - Extending to include genesis during forecast would be valuable
- Extra-tropical cyclone identification and tracking
 - potentially large benefit
 - Positive response from forecasters to trials at Met Office, but otherwise limited practical experience so far
 - different levels of sophistication in identification/tracking algorithms
 - need to distinguish potential severe storms from general "everyday" cyclones
 - more practical experience required to evaluate benefits
 - Worth pursuing given potential usefulness



Products for severe events

- Extreme forecast index (EFI)
 - Seems to be widely used as alert to forecasters (then need to investigate forecast more carefully)
 - Additional parameters would extend the range of situations where these alerts can help
 - Max, min 2m temperature; snowfall; CAPE suggested
 - Noted that new EFI climatology will be introduced with unified EPS/monthly forecast in 2008; parameters and forecast steps can be reviewed
- ➤ Interest in information to complement EFI, eg probabilities of quantiles, return period (more intuitive to users)
- Severe events typically rare/extreme tails of climate pdf
 - Parametrisation of tails (extreme value theory) may be worthwhile
 - climate and/or ensemble distribution
 - Some encouraging initial results with return period



Products for severe events

- Temporal and spatial precision
 - More important to know if something will happen rather than exactly when or where
 - Probabilities for event to occur somewhere within time window over region, not restricted to point probabilities
 - Depends on forecast range
 - Selection of spatial area dependent on user difficult to do centrally (eg better done by Member States and not ECMWF)
- Precipitation for flash floods, max precip in short period is more important that totals over fixed period (12h, day); e.g. max rainfall in any 3h period during day; would require additional model output parameter



Post-processing/calibration

- Post-processing and calibration of model data
 - Bias correction is standard practice for monthly and seasonal forecasts (using reforecast data)
 - MOS not commonly used, but can give benefit
 - MOS, KF generally applied to medium-range forecasts in Member States (various methods, using locally available observations)
 - Typical MOS may not be suitable for severe events
 - Calibration using reforecasts not generally done at mediumrange; VarEPS/monthly reforecasts will be available for calibration (research shows potential benefit)
- Combining deterministic and EPS output
 - NAEFS plans to make weighted combination for ensemble mean
 - Potential should be explored further
 - Is reforecast needed for T799?



Verification

- Different objective for verification
 - Diagnostic to understand model performance and guide developments
 - Wide range of measures available
 - Still very active discussion and research on methods and tools
 - More work needed on availability and use of observations
 - Administrative to inform users/managers of benefits of forecasts
 - Impossible to summarise in single simple overall measure, especially for severe events where samples are inevitably small
 - Proposals under development for WMO, focus on longrange, but more general applicability should be considered
- Case studies needed (false alarms should be expected; include missed events); complement objective scores

