

Bias correction of satellite data at the Met Office

Nigel Atkinson, James Cameron, Brett Candy and Steve English

ECMWF/EUMETSAT NWP-SAF Workshop on Bias estimation and correction in data assimilation, 9th November 2005

Contents

Instruments to be corrected
Modelling the bias
Computing coefficients
Example of bias characteristics
Monitoring – NWP SAF web pages
Future improvements
Conclusions

Satellite data types for bias correction

Operational now:

- AMSU-A, AMSU-B, MHS
- HIRS (not currently assimilated)
- AIRS
- SSM/I
- AMVs (assimilated but not bias corrected)

Future:

- SSMIS
- IASI
- SEVIRI

Sources of error (discussed in other talks):

- Instrumental (e.g. antenna sidelobes viewing space)
- Radiative transfer
- NWP model errors
- For AMVs cloud height estimate

Met Office



NWP analysis will be influenced by:

- 1. Radiosonde profiles and other observations
- 2. Satellite radiances
- 3. Requirement for internal dynamical consistency

Strategy:

- Use remainder of Global Observing System (e.g. radiosondes) as "truth"
- Adjust satellite radiances to minimise global Σ(C-B)²
 (C = corrected radiance, B = forward model radiance from Background)

This will work provided there is a reasonable geographic coverage of non-satellite data.



Express the brightness temperature correction in terms of an "air mass" correction and a "scan" correction

For channel *i*, scan position *s*,

$$\Delta T_{i,s} = \sum_{j} a_{i,j} p_j + c_{i,s}$$

Where we have air mass predictors p_j , with coefficients $a_{i,j}$, and global scan dependent constants $c_{i,s}$.

Predictors can be observation based

 e.g. observed brightness temp for AMSU channels 5 and 9, as used at Met Office prior to May 2004

or model based (Harris & Kelly).

Model based predictors



Operationally (ATOVS + AIRS):

- 1. Stratospheric thickness, 200-50 hPa
- 2. Tropospheric thickness, 850-300 hPa

Other predictors considered:

- 3. Skin temperature (difficult over land)
- 4. Total column water vapour (could interfere with real signal)
- 5. Background brightness temperature, per channel (gave a degradation in trials)
- 6. Temperature lapse weight convolved with weightning function per channel (to correct RT errors but requires some extra computation)

Predictors

200-50 hPa thickness



7200 7400 7600 7800 8000 8200 850-300 hPo thickness /m

Skin temp___



850-300 hPa thickness



© Crown copyright 2005

Computation of bias coefficients



Air mass coefficients:

Predictor covariance Cross covariance

$$\mathbf{a}_i = \left(\overline{\mathbf{p}\mathbf{p}^{\mathrm{T}}} - \overline{\mathbf{p}} \ \overline{\mathbf{p}^{\mathrm{T}}}\right)^{-1} \left(\overline{\mathbf{p}y_i} - \overline{\mathbf{p}} \ \overline{y_i}\right)$$

where y_i is O-B for channel *i*, **p** are the predictors (column vector), and the means are global

Scan coefficients for scan position *s*:

$$c_{i,s} = \overline{y_{i,s}} - \mathbf{a}_i^{\mathrm{T}} \overline{\mathbf{p}_s}$$

where \mathbf{p}_{s} are predictors for scan position s

 NB the operational thickness predictors do not have a scan dependence, but other choices could do.

Accumulating statistics



- Accumulate statistics for each channel, spot and latitude band
 - For ATOVS 40 channels, 56 spots
 - 5 bands: 90-60S, 60-30S, 30S-30N, 30-60N, 60-90N
 - 3 surfaces: land, sea, sea-ice
- Each model run, update file containing

Number of obs	40×56×5×3
 Mean O-B 	40×56×5×3
 Mean (O-B)² 	40×56×5×3
Mean P×(O-B)	40×56×5×3×2
Mean P	(40×)56×5×3×2
Mean P×P	(40×)56×5×3×2×2

- Before computing coefs, weight stats to give effective no of obs in each band = 1 : 1 : 1.5 : 1 : 1
- Alternative to Bstats is to archive all required quantities for all obs (currently used for AIRS).

Accumulating statistics (2)



- ➢Global (no sonde mask, but some centres use one)
- >Use all channels/obs that are used in 1D-Var plus some extra Q/C
- >Land, sea and sea-ice, as appropriate for each channel, e.g.
 - AMSU 1-3, 15-17 not used at all
 - AMSU 4, 5, 18-20 only used over sea
 - In rain stratospheric channels only

Include high land (old predictors did not work over high land)

 Also maintain 'Mstats' file – for monitoring. Includes all observations for all channels, as a function of lat/lon. Various cloud categories.











Strategy in the stratosphere

No obvious "truth"

> Radiosondes have systematic errors, and limited height coverage

- Use satellite radiances as truth:
 - Correct for scan dependence only
 - >Zero correction in the swath centre
 - >No air mass correction
- Current operational global model has ceiling at ~6hPa (36km)
 - Compare AMSU-14 peak at ~3hPa
 - Extrapolate above model top
- Testing new 50 level model up to 0.1hPa (65km)



NWP SAF monitoring web page Met Office - 0 × Met Office: NWP SAF - Microsoft Internet Explorer provided by The Met Office File Edit View Favorites Tools Help 'Favorites 🌒 Media 🧭 🔀 😼 👿 🔹 🗄 × 2 Search C Back 👻 Address 🙆 http://www.metoffice.gov.uk/research/interproj/nwpsaf/monitoring.html B Go Links » -**SEARCH Met Office** GO Met Offic Home Research Projects NWP SAF Monitoring reports NWP | Climate | Seasonal forecasting | Atmospheric processes | Oceanography | Projects | The stratosphere Monitoring reports PRINTABLE VERSION About NWP SAF home NWP SAF ATOVS monitoring reports Members' site Acronyms The NWP SAF EARS (EUMETSAT ATOVS Retransmission Service) monitoring report Deliverables: Met Office 6 and 24 hourly radiance monitoring plots v NWP ECMWF 24 hourly radiance monitoring plots v NWP - AAPP . Meteo France (Toulouse) 6 hourly radiance monitoring plots v NWP. Please contact Herve . - 1D-Var Schemes Benichou (Meteo France) for access information. - Scatterometer Meteo-France (CMS Lannion) 24 hourly radiance monitoring plots v radiosonde - RTTOV & Profile SMHI ATOVS monitoring page . Science plan for integrated ATOVS monitoring and tuning reports (pdf) data . Bias correction procedures for ATOVS - a brief guide - Monitoring reports News NWP SAF Other (non-NWP SAF) ATOVS monitoring reports developments Links NCEP 6 hourly radiance monitoring plots CMC 24 hourly radiance monitoring plots (This site requires a username and password. Please EUMETSAT contact Gilles.Verner@ec.gc.ca for access information) ECMWF NESDIS ATOVS and RTOVS monitoring KNMI NESDIS soundings monitoring . Météo-France DMI ATOVS daily monitoring statistics . DWD ATOVS monitoring reports Contact Software requests **NWP SAF enquiries** One shifted to the discrete state of the state

© Crown copyright 2005

Met Office ATOVS monitoring page

		Mat Office
1et Office: ATOV5 Monitoring - Microsoft Into	ernet Explorer provided by The Met Office	
Edit View Favorites Tools Help		20
) Back 👻 🛞 🖌 💽 🖌 🔎 Sea	rch 👷 Favorites 🜒 Media 🧭 🍰 🖪	2 • 💌
iress 🗟 http://www.metoffice.gov.uk/research/nwp/satellite/radiance/atovs/main.html 🗾 🄁 Go		🔽 🄁 Go 🛛 Links 🎽
SEARCH Met Office		Met Office
▶ <u>Home</u> ▶ <u>Research</u> ▶ <u>NWP</u> ▶ <u>Satellite</u> Ap	plications ► <u>Satellite Radiances</u> ► <u>ATOVS monitoring</u>	ATOVS
the following for the second for cease	ng Hanospheric processes occurring aprily	Trojects The statosphere
ATOVS Monitorin	a	
These plots are considered experimental the basis of these monitoring plots.	. The Met Office accepts no responsibility for actions	taken on
		Time series available for 1 mont
Please select satellite: NOAA-16 💌	Please select quality control:	or 1 year
Please select statistic type: Corrected-Background 💌	Please select surface type or area:	All AMSU and HIRS channels
Please select cloud type: all	Please select graph to display: AMSU 6-10	Land, sea, sea-ice or global
Please select graph to display: Timeseries for 1 month 💌	Press button to display chart full size: Display Chart	O-B, C-B, O, C, B, num of obs, e
Pocot Options		

© Crown copyright 2005



🚰 Time-Series plot - Microsoft Internet Explorer provided by The Met Office

ATOVS Time Series Plot

Time series for NOAA-16 Corrected - Background AMSU6-10 sea





2005 CORRECTED STANDARD DEVIATION

2003

2004

CORRECTED MEAN

When to update bias coefs?

Operationally

- In the past, we have updated coefficients monthly
- Now only update when there is a significant change (e.g. in time series)
- Use statistics from previous month (plus ~2 week delay to get change into operations)

For trials

- 'Spin up' bias corrections iterate if needed.
- Accumulate statistics for typically 10 days before final update
- Changes involving significant bias changes may need "dual" processing (i.e. run old version initially but generate bias corrections using new)



NOAA15 – AMSU-A channel 6 (54.4 GHz)

Bias varies with instrument temperature Oscillator frequency varying? (not expected from pre-launch measurements) Time scales for changes too short to correct effectively



Further examples of instrument effects in Bill Bell's talk, e.g. SSMIS

Regional models



Standard approach - use the bias coefs from global model

Problems:

- Assumes global and regional models behave similarly – not necessarily true
- Would like to use some instruments used in regional model but not global (e.g. NOAA-17 AMSU-B)
- •Would like to use AMSU-B at full resolution
- In future we expect to generate bias coefs from at least 1 regional model, e.g. North Atlantic-European (NAE) model
- Need substantially longer to accumulate statistics than for global model





Variational bias correction

- Bias coefficients introduced as additional Control Variables in VAR
- Effect is to mimimise $\Sigma(C-A)^2$ rather than $\Sigma(C-B)^2$ where A is analysis
- Better able to track instrument drifts
- Biases automatically adjust as changes are introduced in trials
- Response to sudden changes can be tuned with care!

Conclusions

Bias correction is a key part of assimilation system

Global model uses air-mass (model based) and scan angle predictors

Being extended to regional models

Monitoring plots available on NWP-SAF web page

Acknowledgements: Brett Harris (BoM), Andrew Collard (ECMWF)