

## Applications: forecaster perspective, training

Ken Mylne

Met Office

Also, Chair, WMO CBS Expert Team on Ensemble Prediction

Thanks to: Anders Persson, Pierre Eckert, many others.



- •Use of ensembles in forecast operations
- Severe Weather/extreme events
- Presentation of Ensemble outputs and Probabilities
- Training
- What does TIGGE offer?

### **Information Potential**





- ACC>0.6 indication of useful forecast
- Two graphs show variable predictability
- Many EPS members more skilful than control (solid line)
- Need to develop ways to extract information from best members, without knowing which they are
- Ensemble prediction systems (EPS) allow us to assess the *flow-dependent* predictability

Figure from Molteni et al, 1996

# Use of Ensembles in Forecast Operations

### **Ensemble prediction System (EPS)**





- Example from ECMWF 51-member medium-range ensemble
- TIGGE offers possibility of even more information





© Crown copyright 2004

### **Contoured Grid-point Probabilities**

### Rain and wind probabilities

90- 100 <b>%</b>	
70-90 %	
50- 70 %	
30- 50 %	
10- 30 %	

PROBABILITY



24 hr rain > 0.60 mm



24 hr rain > 5.00 mm



24 hr rain > 12.00 mm



10 m wind > Force 8



10 m wind > Force 9



Met Office



Mean Sea Level Pressure : 14/ 5/2000 12Z. T+144 Valid at : 20/ 5/2000 12z.

EPS Member 8 extreme of tube 1 ( 3 members ) ot 111m from ensemble mean



Mean Sea Level Pressure : 14/ 5/2000 12Z. T+144 Valid at : 20/ 5/2000 12z.



Mean Sea Level Pressure : 14/ 5/2000 12Z. T+144 Valld at : 20/ 5/2000 12z.

#### Tools for summarising information



- Tubing (left) identifies most probable and most extreme forecasts
- Clustering groups together similar forecasts
- Cyclone Tracking shows low centres



## Classification of the enemble (MeteoSuisse)

- Each member of the ensemble is classified
- It is possible to visualise the spread of the ensemble on the synoptic scale
- Disorder can be quantified with the entropy
- A confidence index can be computed



Slide courtesy of Pierre Eckert, Meteo-Suisse.

### **Tropical Cyclones**



- Graphics of:
  - tracks
  - strike probabilities
- Alerts of areas at risk
  - Quantifies risk



### **Site-Specific Probabilities**



Data Time: 12Z on 25 April 2001 Forecast Time: T+96 Verifying Time: 12Z on 29 April 2001

#### LERWICK (S. SCREEN) 03005

Recalibrated Kalman Filtered data

28 .13

.01

.00

.00

.00

.00

m/s

0.3-1.5

1.6-3.3

3.45.4

5.5-7.9

8.0-10.7

10.8-13.8

13.9-17.1

17.2-20.7

knots

1-3

4-6

7-10

11-16

17-21

22-27

28-33

34-40



Wind speed pdf (recalibrated)

Site-specific weather parameters can be extracted from each EPS member to create probability forecasts

Site-specific bias corrections can be applied

© Crown copyright 2004

### Verification -ws>Force 6 at T+72













### **Met Office Operations Centre**







Ops Centre forecaster uses the ensemble to assess the *most probable outcome* before creating the medium-range forecast charts (using field modification software)



### **Alternative Guidance Charts**





### **Future Production Systems**



- Forecast production needs to change if we are to fully exploit ensembles
  - Ability to use selected ensemble members in forecast production
  - Tools to generate "most probable" outcome (eg field modification)
  - Fully probabilistic products need more explanation (internet)



### Forecast Production with TIGGE



- Still learning how to use ensembles in forecast production
  - Met Office currently considering future production:
    - Select ensemble member?
    - How to make use of probabilistic information?
    - How to describe and present uncertainty?
- Multi-model ensembles present new challenges:
  - Production systems need to take data from different sources
  - How to weight different models?
- NAEFS is a pilot for multi-model production

### Severe or Extreme Weather

### The Challenge of Severe Weather



### Focus of THORPEX is on High-Impact Weather Severe weather well-suited to ensemble approach:

- Development often involves interaction of several elements
- Need to get all these elements right in combination
  - chance of categorical success is low
  - Probability forecasts expect low probabilities
- Rare events mean few test cases, so difficult to
  - Verify (assess) quality of forecasts
  - Calibrate correct for systematic errors

### Increased uncertainty in extreme events





- This example was for a recent storm over the UK (8 Jan 2005)
- Large uncertainty in details for a 36 hour forecast

### Extreme Forecast Index (EFI): 26 Dec 1999



© Crown copyright 2004

Met Office

### Wind EFI for northern France 26 Dec 1999



Page 21

Met Office

### EFI – An Alerting Tool



### EFI Alerts forecasters to unusual forecasts

- Does NOT provide probabilities of extreme weather
  - Forecasters not sure how to use it
  - Attempts to interpret as probabilities have had limited success – high false alarm rates
- Need to develop and maintain model climatology
  - For multi-model TIGGE would require climatology for all models
    - TIGGE research could provide initial climate
    - How to maintain with model changes?

### Early Warnings of Severe Weather (UK)

Met Office issues Early Warnings up to 5 days ahead - when probability ≥60% of disruption due to:

- Severe Gales
- Heavy rain
- Heavy Snow
- Forecasters Provided with alerts and guidance from EPS



Met Office



#### **RECOMMEND ISSUE OF A WARNING**

Probability % of event by region between 1800 07 JAN 2005 and 1200 08 JAN 2005 Prob. of event occurring anywhere in the UK is 80%

N. Scotland 33% 49% E. Scotland S.W. Scotland 51% N. Ireland 37% N.W. England 55% N.E. England 59% Midlands 45% Wales 33% S.W. England 41% Cen. S. England 51% S.E. England 33% E.Anglia/Lincs 39%

#### Event: SEVERE GALES - gusts of at least 70mph

T+ 18	36	12	10	11	47	30	24	34	1		18%																					
<u>T+ 24</u>	13		2%	]																												
T+ 30	16		2%	]																												
<u>T+ 36</u>	16	8	34	6	36	50	37	35	47	21	29	11	22	12	23	30	13	49	4	31	.4	32	(	0 43	3%							
T+ 42	34	45	50	44	28	33	25	9	39	47		32		48		5	5 27	21	. 2	22	9	26	18	12	2	0	) 42	2 16	38	36	40	57%
<u>T+ 48</u>	28	32	20	9	44	33	7	42	22	45	1	25	5	50	27		30%															
T+ 54	5	34	37	32	]	8%	]																									
<u>T+ 60</u>	32		2%	]																												
T+ 66	16		2%	]																												
<u>T+ 72</u>	27		2%	]																												
T+ 78	27	50	]	4%	]																											
<u>T+ 84</u>	50	13	2	47	6		10%																									
T+ 90	50	13	48	28	]	8%	]																									
<u>T+ 96</u>	33	25	5	]	<mark>6%</mark>	]																										
T+102	8	25	]	4%	]																											
<u>T+108</u>	3		2%	]																												
T+114																																
<u>T+120</u>	<u></u>																															
T+126																																
<u>T+132</u>				1																												
T+138	4	24	2%		1																											
<u>T+144</u>	41	21		4%																												
T+150																																
T+156			29/	1																												
T+162	26		2%	1																												
<u>T+168</u>	26		2%																													

### Use of Ensemble in this case



- Ensemble gave early indication of risk of severe storm
  - Lots of uncertainty
  - Probability higher than normal
  - First-Guess Early Warning gave very strong signal
    - 30% risk of exceptionally severe gales (very high for this category)
  - Early warning was issued giving probabilities
    - Risk and uncertainty was described in forecasts to public and emergency services
  - Result was excellent forecast for storm-force winds
    - Heavy rainfall was predicted but did not get very extreme amounts in Carlisle.

### Verification



Good relationship Obs. between forecast Freq probability and frequency 0.8 Obs of occurrence freq 0.6 Most severe events can be forecast, but at low 0.4 probabilities False alarms Sample 0.0 For each correct low 0.0 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 1.0 probability warning, Sample Clim. Freq. 0.1240 Average F/c Prob. 0.1389 several false alarms are 25 also issued 10 0.6 0.8 F/c Prob. 1.0 00 0.2 0.4

f/c prob

### Benefits of TIGGE for Severe Weather

### Better chance of capturing event

- Larger Ensemble
- More diverse perturbations
- Shared resource to support forecasting and disaster mitigation for the whole Globe

Met Office

## Presentation of Ensemble Outputs/Probabilities

### Example Summary of Ensemble Risks

#### Threats assessment produced by forecasters in US



Met Office



Data Time : 12Z 03/09/2001

Lat 58.50 / Lon 1.50



Stacked probability chart for Significant wave-heigh 100% 100 aо Prob 0% T+12 T+24 T+36 T+48 T+60 T+72 T+84 T+96 T+108 T+120 T+132 T+144 T+156 T+168 T+180 T+192 T+204 T+216 T+228 T+240 12Z 00Z 127 00Z September Sot OB Tue O4 Thu O 6 Fri 07 Sun (19 Tue 11 ₩ed 05 Man 1D ₩ed 12 Thu 13 2001 Significant wave-height ge 1.5 m Significant wave-height ge 3.5 m Significant wave-height ge 4.0 n Significant wave-height ge 2.5 m Significant wave-height ge 5.5 n Significant wave-height ge 3.0 m

- Plot of ensemble spread
- Probability graph for multiple severity thresholds

### EPS appearing on Dutch TV



Courtesy of Robert Mureau, KNMI.

#### Epsgram produced by the Swedish Meteorological and Hydrological Institute and published by newspapers, in this case a newspaper in Poland

Temperature and precipitation probability for the next 9 days.



#### Stockholm

Slide courtesy of Anders Persson, SMHI.



### Confidence Index Example: national TV





### Example: Prévision plein air

	Période et forte intensité modérée					
ŝ		12h	12h	12h	12h	12h
<u>0</u>	Fréquence, localisation	-	intermittentes	intermittentes	résiduelles	-
Précipitat	Quantité moyenne (l/m <sup>2</sup> )	0	5-10	5-10	2	0
	Prob. plus de 1 l/m <sup>2</sup>	nulle	forte	forte	faible	nulle
	Prob. plus de 10 l/m <sup>2</sup>	nulle	modérée	modérée	faible	nulle
	Limite des chutes de neige (m)	300	300	300	300	300
	empérature de l'air à 2 m du ol min/max(°C) à 1000 m	-8 / -3	-7 / -1	-6 / -3	-6 / -2	-9 / -2
In	dice de confiance (sur 10)	8	7	7	6	6



Slide courtesy of Pierre Eckert, Meteo-Suisse.

## **Advanced Applications**

### **Ensembles of Outcome Models**



- Ensembles can be used to drive outcome models, eg.:
  - Hydrology
  - Wind energy
  - Ship-routing
- Few examples in real use yet but some applications in hydrology:
  - EFAS (JRC, Italy)
  - COSMO-LEPS
  - SMHI







### Probability Forecasts – an alternative view



- "...meteorology ... hung up on how to use ensembles... seems to think you need the whole ensemble to produce a probability forecast... which is rubbish" Steve Jewson, RMS.
- Meteograms on right generated from deterministic model plus gaussian error stats (transformed in wind case)
  - Cheap and reliable
  - Benchmark for ensembles
    - Need to prove that EPS spread adds value
- But ensemble provides :
  - Relationship between parameters
  - Full meteorological scenarios



Produced on 17 February 2005 Page 38

## Training



### Change way forecasters think

- Predictability central get away from determinism
- Improving deterministic
- Changing Role
  - Forecast consultants
  - Interpretation of automated forecast
  - Advising on decision-making
- Integrate into basic training
- •WMO provides training for members
  - Workshops to "Train the trainer" (Brasilia, Shanghai)
  - Why and how to use





- Change the way users think
  - Think they need deterministic to make decisions
  - Reasons for uncertainty a little science!
  - Cost/loss for decision-making
- Consultancy
  - Need to understand users' decision-making
  - Demonstrations of extra information
    - Example Wind farm "game"
- Some customers easier than most
  - Offshore oil engineers
  - Finance and Insurance statisticians

## So what does TIGGE offer for future forecasting?

WMO OMM

THORPEX

TIGGE

### Use of Global Multi-model Ensembles

- Multi-model ensembles (eg TIGGE, NAEFS) are expected to offer best quality probability forecasts. Can we use them?
  - Need to harmonize output formats of all fields from all models used – TIGGE – NAEFS is a good pilot
  - All centres need to modify forecast production systems to use this common format – possible?! – NAEFS pilot again
  - Huge data volumes to exchange model levels (eg. profiles)
  - Cost implications are huge 10y+ programme?
- Other benefits backup, resilience, global resource ...
- Big question: Will the extra skill (over good singlemodel EPS) justify the costs?

### **Conclusions – Ensembles**

- rtainty anombles allow
- We can never get rid of uncertainty ensembles allow us to
  - Quantify it
    - add Error Bars to our forecasts
    - Produce alternative scenarios
    - Assess risks of severe events
  - Reduce it
    - Assess most probable outcomes
- Exploiting benefits is a challenge. Need to:
  - Rethink production processes
  - Change the way we present forecasts
- Cheaper alternatives for some applications

### **Conclusions - TIGGE**



TIGGE (or its operational descendent) could offer:

- Best available probability forecasts
- Shared resource for the benefit of mankind
- Difficulties of exploiting this should not be underestimated:
  - Probabilistic forecast production and presentation
  - Data exchange of 3-D fields
  - Harmonization of data formats
  - Re-engineering of forecast production in all centres
  - Stable models/ calibration datasets for optimum use
- Will the benefits justify the costs?
  - Key question for THORPEX research

## **Questions?**