The Role of Ocean-Atmosphere Coupling in the MJO

Steven Woolnough

Centre for Global Atmospheric Modelling University of Reading

ECMWF/CLIVAR MJO Workshop November 2003



Tropical (+/-5), 20-100days Bandpass Anomalies



Outline

- The influence of the atmosphere on the ocean
 - The large scale signal from observations
 - The mixed layer response
- The organization of convection by intraseasonal SST anomalies
- A coupled mechanism?





After Flatau et al. (J. Atmos. Sci., 1997)

The Large Scale Signal

Woolnough et al. (J. Clim 2000)

- 15 years of
 - ERA and ECMWF surface data
 - Reynolds SST
 - NOAA OLR
- Correlations for individual seasons and whole timeseries
- Composites for eastward propagating MJO-like events





longitude

longitude



Composite Anomalies



- Composite based on 36 MJO events passing through 82.5°E
- Composite flux anomalies about 15-20Wm⁻²
- Composite SST anomalies about 0.15-0.2°C



Mixed Layer Response

- Observations from the IOP of TOGA-COARE
 - IMET Mooring at 156°E 1°45'S
 - October 1992 March 2003
- Mixed Layer Modelling
 - 1D model with KPP mixing scheme
 - Forced by fluxes from IMET buoy



Mixed Layer Response

IMET Buoy Temperature Profile



- Mean thermocline depth around 60-80m with strong intraseasonal variability
- Warm events
 - 16-23 Nov
 - 28 Nov 14 Dec
 - 10-20 Jan

are confined to the top 20-30m and deepen slowly



IMET Total Wind Stress



- Strong wind stress events

 Westerly Wind Burst (20
 Dec 4 Jan)
 - Squall Lines (30 Jan 1 Mar)
- Low wind events
 - 13 Nov 20 Nov
 - -1 Dec 6 Dec
 - 6 Jan 15 Jan





- Fixed the wind stress to the time mean value (0.039Nm^{-2})
- Intraseasonal warming is underestimated by 0.5-0.75°C compared to the control integration
- Amplitude of the diurnal variability is underestimated



The role of the diurnal cycle

-0.25m



- Force the model with daily mean surface fluxes
- Daily mean SST during the periods of with a strong diurnal cycle is underestimated by about 0.25-0.5°C



Ocean response to atmosphere

- Intraseasonal SST anomalies of 0.5-1°C associated with passage of MJO
- Driven by intraseasonal variability in surface fluxes and surface winds
- Light wind conditions crucial for warming period
 - Allows shoaling of mixed layer and gives larger SST response to the flux anomalies
- Rectification of the diurnal cycle of SST increases magnitude of intraseasonal warming by about 30%



Response to SST anomalies

Woolnough et al. (2001)

- Aquaplanet version of UM forced by SST anomalies
 - Confined dipole similar to observed SST anomalies
 - Vary propagation speed
 - Composite results relative to the moving SST anomaly



Precipitation response



- Precip. Anomaly larger for slower SST anomaly
- For moving SST anomalies precip max colocated with centre of dipole



Humidity response



- Boundary layer q_{max} colocated with SST max
- Delayed response lower troposphere
- Further delay above the freezing level
- Precipitation responds to mid-level q anomalies for timing and magnitude



Role of the diurnal cycle?

- Johnson et al (*J. Clim*, 1999) report the importance of the shallow and mid-level clouds for moistening the atmosphere during undisturbed period
- Diurnal cycle of these clouds more more typical of land convection (afternoon peak) (Sui et al., *J. Atmos. Sci.*, 1997)
- Diurnal cycle of SST during undisturbed period may be important for promoting mid-level convection



Response to SST anomalies

- Intraseasonal SST anomalies can force intraseasonal variability in convection
- Convection maximum occurs between the positive and negative SST anomalies
- Magnitude of precipitation anomaly increases with period of anomaly
- Humidity anomalies appear to be important for location and magnitude of precipitation response



Coupling and Propagation Speed

- Slow moving SST anomalies generate large precipitation anomalies and hence large surface flux anomalies – inconsistent with slow moving SST anomalies
- Fast moving SST anomalies generate weak precipitation anomalies and hence weak surface flux anomalies – inconsistent with fast moving SST anomalies
- \Rightarrow Coupled mode will have a preferred timescale



Propagation Speed – a simple model

$$SST = \Delta T \sin(m\lambda - \omega t)$$
$$PPT = \frac{\alpha \Delta T}{\omega} \cos(m\lambda - \omega t)$$
$$FLUX = -\beta \bullet PPT$$
$$\frac{d}{dt}SST = -\gamma \bullet FLUX$$

$$\Rightarrow \omega = \sqrt{\alpha \beta \gamma}$$

- α~0.6mm K⁻¹ day⁻²
 (from aquaplanet experiments)
- $\beta \sim 8 W \text{ m}^{-2}/(\text{mm day}^{-1})$ (from observations)
- $\gamma \sim 1.2 \times 10^{-8} \text{K m}^2 \text{ J}^{-1}$ (H~20m)
- \Rightarrow Period ~ 90 days



Summary

- SST anomalies forced by surface fluxes and winds associated with the MJO
 - Light wind conditions important
 - Allows shoaling of mixed layer to increase SST response to fluxes
 - Permits large diurnal cycle in SST which elevates daily mean SST
- Convective response to SST anomalies consistent with observations
 - Humidity response seems important for location and magnitude of SST anomalies
 - Magnitude of precipitation anomaly increases with period of anomaly
- Coupled mechanism has preferred frequency on intraseasonal timescales

