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Enclosures
Menagerie of MJO theories

All involve convection, but most require another ingredient.

Some are instability theories:
  - wave-CISK (Lindzen 1974)
  - wind-induced surface heat exchange (Emanuel 1987)
  - radiative-convective pacemaker (Hu and Randall 1995)
  - water vapor feedbacks (Raymond and Torres 1998)
  - surface frictional drag (Wang 1988)
  - coupled air-sea interactions (Flatau et al. 1996)

and some posit external excitation of a weakly damped ‘resonant’ wave mode:
  - midlatitude excitation (Blode and Hartmann 1993).
  - stochastic convective excitation (Salby et al. 1994)

- Further understanding requires a more detailed understanding of the feedbacks between moist convection, the boundary layer, and the large-scale motions.
Cloud-Resolving Convection Parameterization (CRCP) “super-parameterization” multi-scale modeling framework

Grabowski and Smolarkiewicz, *Physica D* 1999
Grabowski, *J. Atmos. Sci.* 2001
Grabowski, *J. Atmos. Sci.* 2003
Grabowski, *J. Climate* 2003
Randall et al. *BAMS* 2003

The idea is to represent subgrid scales of the 3D large-scale model (with horizontal resolution of 100s km) by embedding 2D periodic-domain cloud-resolving model (with horizontal resolution of ~1 km) in each column of the large-scale model.
Convective-radiative equilibrium on a rotating constant-SST aquaplanet
(Sumi 1992)

EULAS: Eulerian/semi-Lagrangian anelastic nonhydrostatic fluid flow model in spherical geometry
(Smolarkiewicz et al. 2001)

EULAS SETUP:

- size and rotation: same as Earth's
- SST=303 K everywhere
- atmosphere at rest at $t = 0$
- radiative cooling: 1.5 K/day below 15 km OR
- radiation transfer model (inside CRCP domains)
  - $(N_X E \ominus N_Y E \ominus N_Z E) \equiv (32 \ominus 16 \ominus 51)$
  - $(N_X E \ominus N_Y E \ominus N_Z E) \equiv (48 \ominus 32 \ominus 51)$
- time step of 12 minutes
CRCP SETUP:

- all CRCP 2D models aligned zonally (E-W)
- role of convective momentum transport: all CRCP 2D models aligned meridionally (N-S)
- role of surface drag: all CRCP 2D models aligned along local low-level wind (changes among EULAS columns and in time)
- each CRCP model: 
  \[ (NXC \triangleleft NZC) \equiv (101 \triangleleft 51) \]
- \[ \Delta x = 2 \text{ km}, \text{ time step of } 0.5 \text{ min} \]
MODEL START-UP:

1. Run a single 2D CRM into convective-radiative equilibrium with prescribed radiative cooling and no mean flow (takes about 2 months).

2. Apply convective-radiative equilibrium solution to each CRM of the CRCP and the mean profiles to each column of EULAS.

3. Let the model run and observe development of the large-scale flow.
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Simulations with suppressed convection-moisture feedback

\[ \left( \frac{\partial q_v}{\partial t} \right)_{rlx} = \frac{q_v - \langle q_v \rangle}{\tau} \]

applied in the global model above 2 km

\( \mathcal{M} \uparrow \) - global average at a given level

\( \tau \) - relaxation time scale (1-3 hrs)

Two simulations:

- **QVRLX** - start from \( t=0 \), run for 80 days
- **R-QVRLX** - start from day 60 of a simulation with a strong MJO-like structure
R-QVRLX, equator

- **Rainfall**
- **Precipitable Water**
- **Vertical Velocity (cm s⁻¹)**
- **Zonal Wind (m s⁻¹)**
- **Rainfall (mm day⁻¹)**
- **Surface Flux (W m⁻²)**

```
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Days</th>
<th>Precipitable Water</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Height (km)</th>
<th>Vertical Velocity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Longitude</th>
<th>Zonal Wind</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>180</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>360</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Longitude</th>
<th>Rainfall</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>180</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>360</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
```

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Longitude</th>
<th>Surface Flux</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>180</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>360</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
```
temporal \( (T_{mcf}) \) and spatial \( (L_{mcf}) \) scales at which moisture-convection feedback can operate efficiently:

\[
\frac{1}{T_{mcf}} \sim \frac{1}{RH} \frac{d RH}{dt} \sim \frac{1}{q_v} w \frac{\partial q_v}{\partial z}
\]
in convective-radiative quasi-equilibrium:

$$w \approx \frac{Q_R}{\Gamma}; \quad \Gamma = \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial z}; \quad Q_R \text{ - radiative cooling}$$

$$q_v \sim q_{vs} \sim \exp\left(-\frac{L}{R_v T}\right)$$

$$\frac{1}{q_v} \frac{\partial q_v}{\partial z} = \frac{L}{R_v T^2} \frac{\partial T}{\partial z}$$

for $Q_R = 1 \text{ K/day}$, $\frac{\partial T}{\partial z} = 6 \text{ K/km}$, $T = 250 \text{ K}$:

$$T_{mcf} \sim 10 \text{ days}$$

for $U = 5 \text{ m/s}$:

$$L_{mcf} \sim U T_{mcf} \sim 5,000 \text{ km}$$
Figure 13: Hovmuller diagrams for the precipitation rate, 200 mb zonal wind, 850 mb zonal wind, and outgoing longwave radiation (OLR) in a control run with the T21 CAM, and in an experiment with the same model modified to use the super-parameterization. In the top two panels, the results are filtered to show variability with periods in the range 20 to 100 days. The bottom two panels show variability in the range 2 to 20 days.
Conclusions

• All physical processes/mechanisms considered in this study (radiative transfer, interactive surface temperature and moisture fluxes, convective momentum transport, surface friction) have some impact on MJO-like coherent structures simulated on the constant-SST aquaplanet using the super-parameterization, but neither seem essential for their development and maintenance.

• Interactions between large-scale free-tropospheric humidity and deep convection, the moisture-convection feedback, is essential for both the development and the maintenance of MJO-like coherent structures.
Conclusions cont.

• The moisture-convection feedback operates efficiently on intraseasonal time scales and it involves cloud dynamics (i.e., convective clouds loosing their buoyancy more rapidly when environmental humidity is low), evaporation of precipitation before reaching the ground (i.e., less convective heating in dry environment), and radiative transfer (i.e., dry cloud-free areas experiencing stronger radiative cooling).

• Traditional convective parameterizations are typically weakly sensitive to free-tropospheric humidity. Does this explain why traditional models struggle with MJO? Results from NCAR's CAM with super-parameterization (cf. Randall et al. BAMS 2003, Khairoutdinov et al. submitted to JAS) support such a conjecture.