

New techniques to sound the composition of the lower stratosphere and troposphere from space

Presentation by B.Kerridge on behalf of RAL Remote-Sensing Group

ECMWF Workshop 23-26th June 2003



Contents

- 1. Background
- 2. Ozone profiles from GOME
- 3. Limb/nadir synergy
- 4. Tomographic limb-sounding
- 5. Future work within DARC



RAL Remote-Sensing Group: Brian Kerridge Jolyon Reburn and Richard Siddans Victoria Jay and Barry Latter

Collaborations:

- RAL colleagues (eg Martin Juckes)
- NERC DARC
 - Reading, Oxford, Edinburgh, Cambridge
 - Co-supervision of doctoral students
- Other groups in UK and Europe
 - ESA, EU & Eumetsat projects



Background (contd)

Expertise:

- Development and application of *radiative transfer models* and *retrieval schemes* for *satellite* and *airborne* remote-sensors:
 - Wavelengths from UV through IR to mm-wave
 - Nadir-, limb- and slant-viewing
- Processing, validation and scientific interpretation of data
- Retrieval simulations to define and optimise new sensors

Scientific Focus:

- Composition of troposphere & lower stratosphere
- Interactions with climate via radiative forcing & feedback (eg O₃ & H₂O), transport (eg O₃ & H₂O) and chemistry (eg O₃ & CO).
- Aim: To produce novel data-sets on tropospheric and lower stratospheric constituents of importance to global change.



2. Ozone profiles from GOME



- 1. Stratospheric Ozone Profile
 - Hartley band (260-306 nm)
 - Opacity strong & wavelength dependent
- 2. Lower Stratosphere + Troposphere
 - Huggins bands (324-335nm)
 - Temp. dependent cross-section
 - Vertical temperature gradient
 - Relies on differential structure not absolute calibration

RAL RSG first to use from space instrument



Composite O₃ Averaging Kernels





ESA GOME O₃ Profile Working Group

AK Eigenvectors and values : IFE & KNMI



GOME O3 Profile WG 4th Meeting 2003 June 10-11, ESRIN

Vincent.Soebijanta@iasb.be

ESA GOME O₃ Profile Working Group

AK Eigenvectors and values : RAL



Rutherford Appleton Laboratory

6 highest eigenvalues





Next phase: to intercompare with ozonesondes exhibiting tropospheric O₃ excursions



- O₃ profiles available at <u>www.badc.rl.ac.uk</u>
- Sub-sets of GOME data 1995-9 processed for a variety of applications (>30 users)
- Validated against ensemble of sondes
- Seasonal climatology produced from 1995-8 data (R.Siddans PhD thesis, 2003)



Zonal-mean time series 1995-9



or more days per month sampled from Jan'96
 days per month *narrow-swath* from Apr'98 – Mar'99



Zonal-mean time series 1995-9



Only cloud-free ground pixels selected



Seasonal Climatology 1995-98

Dec-Jan-Feb

Jun-Jul-Aug



Lower Tropospheric O₃

Mar-Apr-May



Sep-Oct-Nov





Seasonal Climatology 1995-98

Dec-Jan-Feb Jun-Jul-Aug

TRMM fire count 1997





- Correction needed in Band 1 after 1998 to retrieve O₃

Rutherford Appleton Laboratory

- Empirical scheme devised assuming climatology in RT





Ozonesonde comparisons before and since scan-mirror uv degradation



Comparison of assimilated GOME to CCLRC SAGE-III, HALOE and MIPAS profiles



- Assimilation on 650K surface using ECMWF winds (splitting vortex) by M.Juckes



- Degradation correction satisfactory
- Increase (x3) in efficiency recently achieved.
- Aim: to process the 8-year mission within a 12 month period.

→Interannual variability in troposphere & LS

 Cloud/surface properties to be produced for GOME from ATSR-2 in parallel project.



Purpose: Constrain GOME O₃ retrieval with stratospheric information from MIPAS

- In stratosphere, MIPAS L2 agrees with standard GOME retrieval to +/-10% (MIPAS vertical res lower than anticipated)
- In upper troposphere, MIPAS contaminated by cloud
- Two GOME Band-2 retrievals:
 - 1. GOME Band-1 as *a priori* (standard)
 - 2. MIPAS L2 product as *a priori* <100hPa
- Combined MIPAS-GOME retrieval:
 - follows MIPAS *a priori* in stratosphere
 - is not affected by cloud contamination in UT cf MIPAS
 - follows standard GOME retrieval in troposphere
 - covers south Atlantic anomaly (unlike GOME Band 1 retrieval)
- → Satisfactory first demonstration of *limb-nadir synergy* in retrieval domain



MIPAS – GOME Synergy





4. Tomographic Limb Sounding

• Conventional limb-sounding assumes *spherical symmetry*:



- at best, retrieved profiles average the true field;
- at worst, retrievals compromised by inconsistencies between observed and modelled radiances
- *Tomographic* limb-sounding intended to address these problems



4. Tomographic Limb-Sounding Principles

- Assumption of spherical symmetry dropped in favour of fully 2-D RTM
- State-vector comprises fields on 2-D grid instead of 1-D profile
- Measurement vector comprises set of limbscans which are inverted simultaneously
- Limb-scan spacing along-track (<100km) fine enough to oversample retrieval grid
- Given air volume viewed from many different directions -> tomography



Tomographic Limb Sounding





Practical Considerations

Iterative solution to *Optimal Estimation* equation:

 $\mathbf{x}_{i+1} = \mathbf{x}_i + (\mathbf{S}_a^{-1} + \mathbf{K}_i^T \mathbf{S}_y^{-1} \mathbf{K}_i)^{-1} \mathbf{K}_i^T \mathbf{S}_y^{-1} [y - F(\mathbf{x}_i)]$

- $-\mathbf{S}_a$ is a priori covariance matrix of **x**
- $-\mathbf{K}_i$ is weighting function matrix w.r.t. \mathbf{x}
- $-\mathbf{S}_{y}$ is measurement error covariance matrix
- Memory limitations preclude storage of matrices which have dimension N_{v}
- Provided S_y is diagonal, matrices such as K^TS_y⁻¹K can be accumulated sequentially on limb-view by limb-view basis
- Since N_x is also large, further matrix manipulation required to make the problem computationally viable.



H₂O 2-D Retrieval for Limb-mm Linear Diagnostics





H₂O 2-D Retrieval for Limb-mm Linear Diagnostics





Iterative 2-D H₂O simulation for mm-wave limb-sounder (MASTER)

MASTER 325 GHz band



 1^{st} guess = A priori with no horizontal structure



Iterative 2-D H₂O simulation for mm-wave limb-sounder (MASTER)



- MASTER 325 GHz band
- Tropospheric penetration limited by limb-opacity, controlled by H_2O
- \rightarrow Structure recovered with good fidelity up to ~300ppmv



Iterative 2-D O₃ simulation for mm-wave limb-sounder (MASTER)

- MASTER 300 GHz band
- Temperature and pointing errors added to synthetic measurements in addition to noise.



Next step: cloud to be added to simulations



MIPAS Limb-Geometry for Standard Mode and UTLS Special Mode (S6)



Spectral resolution reduced to 0.1cm⁻¹ (cf 0.025cm⁻¹ for standard mode)



MIPAS O₃ 2-D simulation for UTLS Special Mode



- Simulation for S6 mode by M.Parrington (Oxford) using RAL scheme
- No errors except instrument noise.



- Challenge for satellite remote-sensing:
 - to measure global distributions of important trace gases on spatial scales required.
- Different techniques have complementary attributes, eg:
 - measurable species
 - vertical and horizontal resolution/sampling
 - penetration into troposphere
 - susceptibility to obscuration by cloud
- Nadir-viewing required to sound lower troposphere
- Contemporary nadir-uv sounders can retrieve O₃
 profiles spanning troposphere and stratosphere.
- Plan to process >8-year GOME mission
- Explore assimilation of profiles & derived quantities



• Envisat offers the first opportunity to pioneer two new techniques:

1.Limb/nadir synergy (eg O_3 and H_2O)

2. Tomographic limb-sounding

and compare implementations via retrieval and assimilation (eg DARC)

- Next steps at RAL:
 - MIPAS $H_2O \& O_3$ scheme optimised for low altitudes (UTLS)
 - Tropospheric H_2O /aerosol profiling from SCIA-nadir (near-IR)
 - Additional trace gases
- Longer term:
 - GOME-2 & IASI on MetOp offer advances on SCIA-nadir for tropospheric $O_3 \& H_2O$, again in combination with MIPAS
 - Future mission to sound atmospheric composition should exploit these new techniques and advanced sensors (eg mm-wave).