Causes of systematic errors in forecasts of near-surface weather parameters and prospects for reducing them

Irina Sandu, Thomas Haiden, Gianpaolo Balsamo Polly Schmederer, Gabriele Arduini, Jonny Day & many ECMWF colleagues



© ECMWF June 2, 2020

Systematic improvements of forecasts of near-surface weather parameters



10 wind speed Day 5, Extratropics



Forecasts of near-surface weather parameters (temperature, humidity, winds) are gradually improving, alongside upper-air forecasts due to improvements in NWP systems (see for e.g. Haiden et al. (2019))



But systematic forecast biases remain for all modelling systems (see recent WGNE survey, Reynolds et al. 2019)

2m temperature bias and stdev, day 3, Europe



2m temperature bias, day 3, winter, 0 UTC Europe



... with complicated temporal (diurnal, seasonal) and geographical patterns



USURF – Understanding uncertainties in surface-atmosphere exchange

Cross-departmental ECMWF project (2017-2019) aiming at:

- disentangling the contribution of individual processes to systematic forecast errors in near-surface weather parameters by using a range of diagnostics for stratifying and attributing errors
- identify the necessary model developments to reduce systematic forecast errors in near-surface weather parameters

Guiding principles & methods

- start simple (focus on areas away from coasts, mountains)
- verify against routine (synop) observations
- develop routine verification versus super-site observations
- use conditional verification (stratify errors in various ways: cloudy/clear, by land surface characteristics, etc)
- use model sensitivity experiments (to disentangle role of atmospheric and land surface processes)





1. Causes of near-surface wintertime temperature biases



Cold bias over southern Europe partly related to cloud errors (approx. 5% underestimation of cloud cover)



Haiden et al, ECMWF newsletter, 157

1. Causes of near-surface wintertime temperature biases





Warm bias at high latitudes warm bias partly related to snow and turbulent diffusion representation



Arduini et al., JAMES, 2019, Day et al., JAMES, 2020

2. Causes of underestimation of diurnal cycle amplitude in summer

b Soil temperatures a Air temperatures Height: 98 m Depth: 5 cm 300 -298 295 296 290 294 292 285 290 OBS 300 Height: 10 m 288 **HRES** 295 298 Depth: 20 cm 290 S Temperature (K) **ENS** 296 ature 285 **ICON** 294 Temper 292 Height: 2 m 300 290 295 288 290 285 298 Depth: 60 cm 296 300 Height: 0 m 294 295 292 290 290 285 288 00 06 12 18 00 06 12 18 00 06 12 18 00 06 12 18 00 06 12 18 00 06 12 18 Time of day (UTC) Time of day (UTC) June July August June Julv Auaust ENS **ICON** Observations HRES

Partially due to too strong land-atmosphere coupling, but representation of vegetation, surface characteristics, etc, can also play a role

Schmederer et al. ECMWF newsletter. 161





Falkenberg evaluation for temperature



3. Causes of dry summer daytime bias



2m dew point bias, day 3, Europe, clear sky



Partially related to mixing in cloudy (convective) boundary layers



4. Important to take into account observation representativness



Raw data Bias corr. Obs. Err Bias corr + obs err

> Schmederer et al, ECMWF newsletter, 161 Boullegue et al, 2020

5. Wind errors (summertime)

10m wind speed bias, day 3, 00 UTC



10 m wind speed bias, day 3, 12 UTC



10 m wind speed depends on the quality of the underlying vegetation maps





Perspectives of a new land-use for calibrating weather parameters

&

LAND USE: VEGETATION COVER

VEGETATION TYPES &

STATISTICS



Prospects for reducing systematic biases

These issues are relevant to other forecasting systems so a lot of work will be done in partnership with colleagues from our Member States

Taking observation (representativness) error into account is very important in particular for ensemble verification

These biases depend on a multitude of factors, so 'package' changes are needed, instead of individual changes



Prospects for reducing systematic biases

These issues are relevant to other forecasting systems so a lot of work will be done in partnership with colleagues from our Member States

Taking observation (representativness) error into account is very important in particular for ensemble verification

These biases depend on a multitude of factors, so 'package' changes are needed, instead of individual changes

Ongoing work and future plans:

- multi-layer snow scheme (developed in APPLICATE, planned for implementation in Bologna) will reduce wintertime temperature and snow biases (Arduini et al, 2019, Day et al, 2020)
- Vegetation maps (with Meteo-France & IPMA) and vegetation seasonality can help reduce summertime and transition seasons biases in near-surface temperature, dew point and winds – optimisation of uncertain parameters will be needed
- Revision of moist physics (planned for implementation in Bologna) cleaner interaction between turbulence, cloud and convection schemes helps address cloud, precipitation, radiation and potentially dew point biases
- Partition of mixing between clear and cloudy updrafts (with TU Delft) can help with wind and dew point biases in summer time
- Revision of post-processing of 2t/2d (grid-box average instead of low vegetation category)

CECMWF