2 years into ECMWF's Scalability Programme: What have we achieved?

Peter Bauer and many colleagues



The ECMWF Integrated Forecasting System (IFS)



← 2x 9-km global high-resolution 10-day forecasts per day

51x 18-km global lower-resolution 15-day forecasts per day... \rightarrow ... extended to 46 days twice per week at 36 km





₂ ← 51x 64-km global low resolution 7-month forecast per month



Node-time allocations operational suites

Suite		Nodes	Time [s]	Nodes x Time [h] /day [d]	Comment
EDA		26 x 28 = 728	3200	1300	2/day; in critical path together with 4DV
ENS legA		51 x 20 = 1020	5200	2960	2/day; in critical path together with HRES
Reforecasts		20 x 11 x 10 = 2200	11500	2010	2/week; 20 years done in batches with max. allocation of 500 nodes at once
4DV	LW SW	352 352	3150 1820	615 360	2/day; in critical path together with EDA 2/day; In critical path together with EDA
HRES	LW SW	352 352	800 2800	160 550	2/day; in critical path together with ENS 2/day, in critical path together with ENS



(1 cluster ~3500 nodes 1 electrical group = 360 nodes)



PETER BAUER 2016

Node-time allocations operational suites



= 25% of the capacity (nodes x time), and max. 40% of capability (nodes)



ECMWF's 10-year strategy: 2016-2025

http://www.ecmwf.int/en/about/who-we-are/strategy :

- [...] <u>integrated global model of the Earth system</u> to produce forecasts with increasing fidelity on time ranges up to one year ahead [...]
- [...] skilful ensemble predictions of <u>high-impact weather up to two weeks ahead</u>. By developing a seamless approach, we also aim to <u>predict large-scale patterns</u> and regime transitions up to four weeks ahead, and <u>global-scale anomalies up to a</u> year ahead.





Can't have it all?





The 5-year challenge= ½ way

- a global N-member ensemble at 9 km resolution (up to day 15 in critical path),
- that is coupled to a land, ¼ degree ocean and a sea-ice model,
- that includes prognostic atmospheric composition,
- and that is initialized with a N-member hybrid variational/ensemble analysis with 9 km resolution, land, sea-ice and ocean model coupling and atmospheric composition.

With N=51 the cost increase towards the above target configuration would be:

- Ensemble analyses: hor. resolution x5, coupling x1.2, ensemble size x2, atmos. composition x1.2
- Ensemble forecasts:

hor. resolution x5, vert. resolution x1.5, coupling x1.2, atmos. composition x1.5

• Reforecasts:

ensemble size x1.6, hor. resolution x5, vert. resolution x1.5, coupling x1.2, atmos. composition x1.2

\rightarrow Just for the ensemble forecasts ~x4.5 one XC-40 cluster



EUROPEAN CENTRE FOR MEDIUM-RANGE WEATHER FORECASTS

~ x15

ECMWF Scalability Programme





ECMWF Scalability Programme Partnership





Low hanging fruit: Single precision IFS





Up to 40% efficiency gains through enhanced memory utilisation; mostly relevant for ensemble forecasts

Need to protect sensitive code components (Adjoint, matrix inversions)



EUROPEAN CENTRE FOR MEDIUM-RANGE WEATHER FORECASTS

[F. Vana & P. Dueben]

Low hanging fruit: Single precision NEMOVAR





^{-4.0}e-07-3.5e-07-3.0e-07-2.5e-07-2.0e-07-1.5e-07-1.0e-07-5.0e-080.0e+00 5.0e-08 1.0e-07 1.5e-07 2.0e-07

Difference from use of double – single precision in Chebyshev iteration solver

ORCA ¼ degree grid, 5-day assimilation window, at sea surface.

→ Reduction in run-time for the same case corresponds to a speed-up of 1.29x for 384 and 1.12x and for 786 MPI tasks.



-1.2e-06 -9.9e-07 -7.8e-07 -5.7e-07 -3.6e-07 -1.5e-07 6.0e-08 2.7e-07 4.8e-07 6.9e-07 9.0e-0



-5.0e-08 -4.0e-08 -3.0e-08 -2.0e-08 -1.0e-08 -1.8e-15 1.0e-08 2.0e-08 3.0e-08 4.0e-08 5.0e-0



[M. Chrust]

Flexibility and efficiency: Data assimilation





[Y. Tremolet]

Where efficiency defines science: EDA Design



Old: all ensemble members with same configuration
→ New: high-resolution control, low-resolution perturbed members

= 40% efficiency gains (and significant skill/reliability improvements)

EUROPEAN CENTRE FOR MEDIUM-RANGE WEATHER FORECASTS

Preconditioning of perturbed members with co-variance statistics from control member

= 25% efficiency gains

[S. Lang & Y. Tremolet]

Workflow: Observational data processing

From this ... Incoming IFS: IFS: odb2 **ODB** BUFR odb1 odb1 BUFR odb1 BUFR \Rightarrow 4D-Var SAPP screening server trajectory ... to this IFS: IFS: 4D-Var / screening MARS OOPS trajectory We need to be able to initialize IFS from ODB server Incoming SAPP + odb2 BUFR Monitoring + **ODB** server alarms MARS [E. Fucile et al.]



Workflow: Model output data processing

From this ...







Model development: ESCAPE

<u>Energy</u> efficient <u>SC</u>alable <u>A</u>lgorithms for weather <u>P</u>rediction at <u>E</u>xascale



Loughborough

PSNC

Bull





NWP & Climate Model dwarf-C-waveModel Path-based approach $\mathrm{D} \boldsymbol{u}$ (C)oupling < Semi-Lagrangian + $= \mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{u}) + \mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{u}) + \mathcal{P} + \mathcal{C}$ $\overline{\mathrm{D}t}$ semi-implicit time-stepping Project fields *u* onto given space (D)ynamics (P)hysical parametrization Compute departure point d_i interpolate: $u^n \longrightarrow u_d^n$ Calculate linear, nonlinear terms $\mathcal{L}(u^n), \mathcal{N}(u^n)$ Compute dynamics predictor for future model state $\widetilde{\boldsymbol{u}}^{n+1} = \boldsymbol{u}_d^n + \overline{\mathcal{L}}(\boldsymbol{u}^n) + \overline{\mathcal{N}}(\boldsymbol{u}^n)$ dwarf-P-radiation Compute physics tendencies $\mathcal{P}(\widetilde{\boldsymbol{u}}^{n+1})$ dwarf-P-cloudMicrophysics Compute coupling tendencies $C(\tilde{u}^{n+1})$ dwarf-D-advection Grid-point Spectral Compute new predictor for future model state dwarf-D-GPderivative dwarf-D-spectralTransform $\widetilde{\widetilde{\boldsymbol{u}}}^{n+1} = \boldsymbol{u}_{\scriptscriptstyle d}^n + \overline{\mathcal{P}}(\widetilde{\boldsymbol{u}}^{n+1}) + \overline{\mathcal{C}}(\widetilde{\boldsymbol{u}}^{n+1})$ dwarf-D-ellipticSolver Solve elliptic Helmholtz problem and back-substitute to obtain model state at new time-level $oldsymbol{u}^{n+1} = \widetilde{\widetilde{oldsymbol{u}}}^{n+1} + \mathcal{H}elm(\widetilde{\widetilde{oldsymbol{u}}}^{n+1})$ dwarf-I-LAITRI Re-project fields *u* back [G. Mengaldo]

Optalysys

0

Deutscher Wetterdienst

er und Klima aus einer

ICHEC

Workflow of model:





RMI



Links between H2020 projects n/e/x/t g/e/n/



Climate & weather prediction together

... European Flagship Programme On Extreme Computing and Climate. Drawing on existing climate modelling expertise in Europe and working closely with existing supercomputing centres, EPECC, would oversee the development of cloud-andeddy-resolved global climate system models, and integration of these models into an extreme-scale computing technology platform ...

Target:

1 km global coupled simulations, 1 year/day processing rate

= new ESiWACE demonstrator case!



EUROPEAN CENTRE FOR ME



A Flagship European Programme on Extreme Computing and Climate

Contribution received to the FET Flagships consultation: A Flagship European Programme on Extreme Computing and Climate

Author(s): Tim Palmer + 20 Leading European Climate Scientists

You can add your comments on this topic at: <u>https://ec.europa.eu/futurium/en/content/flagship-</u> european-programme-ext...

At the 2015 Paris Climate Conference, leaders from 194 countries of the world unanimously acknowledged the serious threat posed by anthropogenic emissions of greenhouse gases. Society must now become resilient to changes in climate over coming decades. Most importantly, this will require quantitative estimates of the changing character of climate extremes. Such extremes include not only exceptional weather events such as violent wind storms and flash floods, but also persistent anomalies in planetary- scale circulation patterns, which lead to pervasive flooding in some regions and seasons, and long--lived drought and extremes of heat in others. However, providing such information will require a step change in the quality of global climate models, which at present are simply not adequate for this purpose (1). In particular, the resolution of these models must increase to a level which allows both ocean eddies and individual cloud systems to become represented explicitly; this is the best hope for obviating long standing climate model biases.

Such capability can begin to be realised with the advent of exascale supercomputing (1018 floating point operations per second) anticipated around 2023. However, being able to realise exaFLOPS for practical applications will require considerable investment in climate modelling software. Indeed, such is the complexity of current climate system models, such an endeavour will require a pooling of expertise and resources from existing climate institutes. Here we argue for a European Flagship Programme On Extreme Computing and Climate. Drawing on existing climate modelling expertise in Europe and working closely with existing supercomputing centres, EPECC (pronounced "Epic") would oversee the development of cloud-- and eddy--resolved global climate system models, and integration of these models into an extreme--scale computing climate extremes accurately on exaFLOP compute infrastructure. The key scientific focus for EPECC will be the drivers of changing extremes in Europe over the 21st century. EPECC will work in cooperation with national meteorological and oceanographic agencies, to provide information tailored to the needs of their clients in the public and private sector, with the aim of increasing European resilience to a

Join here Lo Lake part Search Digital4Science

<u>FET Flagships</u> <u>FET Flagships</u> <u>consultation</u> <u>FET</u> <u>consultation</u> <u>Future and Emerging Technologies</u> <u>emerging technologies</u>

What have we achieved?

Data pre-processing: Redesign of workflows for operations and research

Data assimilation: 3D-Var FGAT and simplified 4D-Var with OOPS

Model development: ESCAPE dwarfs concept established; separation of concern with Atlas (data structure framework) & GridTools; trials with GPU and Xeon Phi

Data post-processing: Broker-worker logic for product generation demonstrated; MultiIO I/O layer using NVRAM

Programming models: Single precision for ensembles; testing of GPI vs MPI vs Fortran co-array; DSL

Computer architectures: GPU cluster; Intel KNL rack; partner in H2020 co-design projects

Collaboration: ECMWF members states; vendors; weather – climate



Some final thoughts

- Do we need to reverse engineer our applications, say a single ensemble member?
 - *Example*: given that you want to avoid communication across nodes, what is the optimal model configuration on a (fat) node in terms of grid points, levels, model complexity?
 - is this co-design?
- How do we replace work flow components in an operational setting that changes all the time?
- How will we do benchmarking in the next 5-10 years?
 - Now, we need to build-in flexibility <u>and</u> efficiency, while in the future we may be able to focus mostly on efficiency;
 - Therefore, we may need to produce a range of benchmarks with options (for example dynamical cores, advection schemes, physics schemes, DSL options etc.).

