Complexity in sub-seasonal prediction

Frédéric Vitart, Magdalena Balmaseda, Angela Benedetti, Sarah Keeley, Steffen Tietsche

European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts



© ECMWF September 5, 2016

INDEX

- 1. Challenges and current status of sub-seasonal prediction
- 2. Adding complexity for sub-seasonal prediction:
 - Ocean
 - Sea ice
 - Land
 - Stratosphere
 - Aerosols
- 3. Impact of increasing resolution on extended-range forecasts
- 4. Conclusions

Sub-seasonal time range



Sub-seasonal prediction

- Bridges the gap between weather and climate forecasting.
- First attempts of sub-seasonal forecasting started in the 1980s (Miyakoda, Molteni..)

• A particularly difficult time range:

Is it an **atmospheric initial condition problem** as medium-range forecasting or is it a **boundary condition problem** as seasonal forecasting? Is it a "Predictability Desert" ?

Sources of sub-seasonal predictability

- Madden-Julian Oscillation
- Extra-tropical modes (weather regimes: blockings, NAO, PNA, SAM..)
- Sudden Stratospheric Warming
- Quasi-Biennal Oscillation
- ➢ ENSO
- Slowing varying processes: Soil moisture/vegetation, snow, sea ice, ocean SSTs/heat content
- Chemistry: Ozone, aerorols...
- > Others?

Sub-seasonal skill is strongly flow-dependent

1st Challenge: Predicting the predictors

Capability of MJO forecast



* ISVHE (Intraseasonal Variability Hindcast Experiment)

Madden Julian Oscillation prediction at ECMWF



Wheeler and Hendon (2003) Index

Re-forecast period 1995-2001

Madden Julian Oscillation prediction at ECMWF



CY32R3: Changes in convective scheme (Bechtold at al. 2008)

CY40R1: Improved diurnal cycle of precipitation

CY41R1: revised organized convective detrainment and the revised convective momentum transport. ...

Madden Julian Oscillation prediction at ECMWF



CY40R1: Improved diurnal cycle of precipitation

CY41R1: revised organized convective detrainment and the revised convective momentum transport. ...

Improvements in MJO Prediction mostly due to changes in convective parameterization

2nd Challenge: Predict the impact of predictors

Z500 anomalies 10 days after an MJO in Phase 3 a 2002 MOFC hindcasts

b 2013 MOFC hindcasts c ERA Interim



MJO teleconnections

Evolution of NAO skill scores-Day 19-25 NAO Index: projection of Z500 on pre-computed EOF



Vitart, 2014



CNRM 0.15

MJO Teleconnections (S2S re-forecasts)

Phase 3 + 3 pentads NDJFM



CMA 0.14



UKMO 0.28



HMCR 0.13



JMA 0.22



NCEP 0.32



ECCC 0.21



ISAC 0.25

Subseasonal-to-Seasonal



ECMWF 0.31



Adding complexity improves sub-seasonal skill scores?

Could add new sources of predictability

Could impact sources of predictability and/or their teleconnections

Ocean Model





Complexity in WWRP/WCRP S2S database models

Models	Time-range	Freq.	Resolution	Vert levels.	Ocean coupling	Active Sea Ice
ECMWF	D 0-46	2/week	0.3/0.3	91	YES	Planned
UKMO	D 0-60	daily	0.5/0.5	85	YES	YES
NCEP	D 0-44	4/daily	1/1	64	YES	YES
ECCC	D 0-35	weekly	0.45/0.45	40	NO	NO
ВоМ	D 0-60	2/weekly	2/2	17	YES	Planned
JMA	D 0-34	weekly	0.5/0.5	60	NO	NO
KMA	D 0-60	daily	0.5/0.5	85	YES	YES
СМА	D 0-45	daily	1/1	40	YES	YES
CNRM	D 0-60	weekly	0.7/0.7	91	YES	YES
ISA-CNR	D 0-32	weekly	0.8/0.6	54	YES	NO
HMCR	D 0-63	weekly	1.1/1.4	28	NO	NO



Impact of ocean/atmosphere coupling



80 case, starting on 1st Feb/May/Aug/Nov 1989-2008

Impact of ocean/atmosphere coupling



Z500 Composites 3rd pentad after an MJO in phase 3

Persisted SSTs







Which ocean configuration is needed?

➤ 1D mixed layer model or 3D OGCM?

> Which ocean vertical resolution is needed?

Which ocean horizontal resolution?

Air-sea Interaction



Courtesy: Pete Inness

Improvement of MJO Skill with Ocean Coupling



- Stronger impact with KPP model (1 metre vertical resolution) than with OGCM (10m) because of stronger and more realistic diurnal cycle of SSTs (Woolnough et al. 2007)
- The same experiment was repeated a few years later by E. De Boisseson. There was no significant difference in MJO skill scores between the KPP and OGCM coupling

Skin SST Scheme (Zheng and Beljaars, 2005, modified Takaya et al. 2010)

solves the one-dimensional heat transfer equation in the near-surface layer.



Impact of increasing ocean horizontal resolution



Importance of oceanic mid-latitude fronts





- Impact of storm track Possible impact on teleconnections
- SST gradient: Ocean resolution of ¼ degree sufficient?
- Problem of Gulf stream separation: Coupled model tend to move the front too much to the North. Issue with ocean parameterization or resolution?
- Publications have so far shown importance of Gulf stream separation for seasonal/climate integrations.
 We need to explore the impact for sub-seasonal forecasts.

Nakamura et al. 2008

Impact of increasing ocean horizontal and vertical resolution

Impact of ¹/₄ degree Ocean (ORCA025)

MJO Index bivariate correlation

MJO Index - Bivariate RMSE



CECMWF

¹/₄ degree no sea ice model – 1 degree no sea ice model (CY42R1)





Performance of increase ocean resolution.

- Blue circles indicate positive impact
- Dark blue circles indicate significant impact

Results obtained show:

- Improved prediction of SSTs particularly in the N. Extratropics medium-range forecasts
- Degradation of skill scores at 200 hPa in the Tropics
- No significant impact on N. Hemisphere tropospheric skill scores.

Sea Ice

Impact of Arctic relaxation (north of 70N) on sub-seasonal RMS error



Sea Ice prediction at ECMWF

32-day ensemble re-forecast experiments (1989 to 2014)

RMS error of sea-ice concentration: Active sea ice (LIM2) - Persistence/climatology Verification against ERA Interim Step 720



Impact of active sea-ice model on forecast skill scores

Sea ice model – No sea ice model





Blue/cyan (red/orange) circles indicate positive (negative) impact Blue/red circles indicate significant impact

Land Surface

Soil Initial Conditions

GLACE2 experiments (Koster et al., 2011)

JJA t2m R2 16-30days conf.level 0.98



t2m R2 31-45days







Van Den Hurk at al. (2012)

Snow Initial Conditions



Orsolini et al. (2014)

GLACE experiments: strong perturbations in initial conditions

Importance of land-surface initial conditions for heat waves prediction



See also Ferranti and Viterbo, 2006 for the 2003 European Heat wave

31

Land Surface for sub-seasonal prediction

- Land surface temperature/humidity: difficult to beat persistence for subseasonal time range. Complexity in land surface models still gives predictability thanks mostly to improved initial conditions.
- Importance of good quality initial conditions for extreme events

Snow: Important to predict snow accumulation/melting

Stratosphere



Sudden Stratospheric Warming

Stratospheric influence on the troposphere?



Weather from above. A weakening stratospheric vortex (red) can alter circulation down to the surface, bringing storms and cold weather farther south than usual.

Baldwin and Dunkerton, 2001



Prediction of Sudden Stratospheric Warming Index

SSW Correlation



SSW index: Difference of temperature at 50hPa between 90N and 60N averaged over all the longitudes Improvements in SSW Prediction mostly due to changes in stratospheric resolution

Impact of SSWs on skill scores

in ICs

CSS for 2-m temperature



non-SSW

in ICs

This plot shows that over some regions, SSWs can have a significant impact on the monthly forecast skill scores.

From Tripathi et al. (2015)

Sudden Stratospheric Warming

SSW: Downward propagation too weak in the model?



Zonal Wind Anomaly at 60N over Europe (15 Dec 2012-15 Feb 2013)



Amplitude MJO Teleconnections 3rd pentad after an MJO in Phase 3



Impact of the QBO?



2 proposed mechanisms for impact on tropical convection

1) Changes in static stability at tropopause: more stable and lower tropopause in west phase> convection lower (and maybe less top heavy heating profile based on Nie and Sobel 2015)

2) Changes in vertical shear of zonal wind at tropopause: less shear at tropopause over equatorial IO/West Pac in easterly phase, favors increased convection in easterly phase?

East waves 1-5 periods 30-80 days

30

24

18

12

6

2

-2

-2

0

Impact of the QBO?



correlation reaches 0.5, 0.6, and 0.8

From available S2S forecasts

Yoo and Son 2016

Aerosols



CAMS aerosol forecasts

Built on the ECMWF NWP system with additional prognostic aerosol variables (sea salt, desert dust, organic matter, black carbon, sulphates)

Aerosol data used as input in the aerosol analysis:

- NASA/MODIS Terra and Aqua Aerosol Optical Depth at 550 nm

- NASA/CALIOP CALIPSO Aerosol Backscatter (experimental)

- AATSR, PMAP, SEVIRI, VIIRS (experimental) Verification based on AERONET Aerosol Optical Depth (and now also Angstrom exponent)

Part of multi-model ensemble efforts such as the International Cooperative for Aerosol Prediction (ICAP) and the WMO Sand and Dust Storm Warning and Assessment System (SDS-WAS) North-African-Middle-East-Europe and Asian nodes.



Monthly EPS coupled runs

- Control run for the period 2003-2015 uses standard Tegen et al 1997 climatology
- Interactive aerosol run covers the same period and uses fully prognostic aerosols in the radiation scheme
- Ensemble size is 11 members
- 5 different start dates around May 1 (65 cases in total)



Aerosol impacts on monthly forecasts (I)

- Preliminary results confirm the positive impact (reduction in bias) of the interactive aerosols on meteorological fields (winds and precipitation)
- More prominent (positive) impact over the Indian Ocean and to a lesser extent in other areas
- Aerosol fields will be evaluated too by comparing with the MACC/CAMS reanalysis





Aerosol impacts on monthly forecasts (II)

CONTROL RUN - 850 hPa U WIND BIAS WEEK 4



INTERACTIVE AEROSOL RUN – U WIND BIAS WEEK 4





Performance of interactive aerosol experiment with respect to a control run for several parameters.

- Blue circles indicate positive impact
- Dark blue circles indicate significant impact

(Sores are applied to bias corrected fields)



Are the improvements due to aerosol climatology or variability? Work in progress to better understand the impact of aerosols on sub-seasonal forecasts

Improvements to sub-seasonal skill scores TL319 \rightarrow Tco319

		S	corec	ard Wee gh9w	kly means / -gg52	s - RF	PSS	
● Pos. sign.		😑 Pos.	not sign.		😑 Neg. sign.		Neg. i	not sign.
	N.	Hem	isphe	re		Tro	oics	
	w1	w2	w3	w4	w1	w2	w3	w4
tp	•	•				•		•
t2m	÷	•		•			•	•
stemp	•	•		•		•	•	•
sst	•	•	•	•		•	٠.	•
mslp		•	•	•	•		•	•
t50	•	•	•	•	•		•	÷
u50	•	•		•	•	•	•	•
v50	•	•		•	•			
sf200	•		•	÷				÷
vp200				•		÷.,	•	•
t200		•	•		•	•	•	•
u200	•	•	•	÷	•	•	•	•
v200			•	•		٠.		
z500		•		•	•		÷.,	•
t500	٠.			÷		÷.,	•	
u500	÷.,	•	•	•		•	•	$\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{r}$
v500			•	•	•		•	
t850	٠.	•	•	•	•		•	•
u850		•				•		•
v850				•	•			

CECMWF

ORCA1→ ORAC025

Scorecard Weekly means - RPSS gh7m -gglp						PSS		
Pos. sign.		Pos.	not sign.		😑 Neg. s	ign.	Neg.	not sign.
	N. Hemisphere				Tro	pics		
	w1	w2	w3	w4	w	w2	w3	w4
tp								
t2m						•		•
stemp	•	•		(\cdot)	•	•	٠	•
sst			•	•	•	•	•	•
mslp		+	•	÷.,		•		•
t50		٠		÷.,				÷
u50	•	•		•				•
v50		•	÷.,	•		•	•	÷
sf200	÷.,		•	\cdot			•	•
vp200	•	•	•	•	•		•	•
t200		•			•			•
u200		÷.,	•					•
v200		(\cdot)	•	•		•		÷
z500		•	•	÷.,		1.1	•	· .
t500		•	•	÷.,	•	•	•	•
u500		+	•	•		1	•	•
v500		•	+	•		•	•	
t850		•	•	•		1.1		•
u850		•	•	•			•	•
v850		•	+				•	•

EUROPEAN CENTRE FOR MEDIUM-RANGE WEATHER FORECASTS

Active aerosols

		S	corec	ard We gf	ekly mean 31 -gih1	s - RF	PSS	
Pos. sign		Pos.	. not sign		😑 Neg. sign.		 Neg. 	nat sign.
	N	. Hem	isphe	re		Tro	pics	
	w1	w2	w3	w4	w1	w2	w3	w4
tp				•		•		
t2m		•	•	•	•	•	•	•
stemp				•			+	+
sst		•	+	•				•
mslp	•	•	•			•	•	•
t50			•	•			•	•
u50	÷			•			•	•
v50		•	•	•			•	•
sf200			•		· ·	•	•	•
vp200		•	•			•	•	
t200	÷	+			•		•	•
u200		•	•	Ŏ		•		•
v200				•	•	•		+
z500		+	•				•	•
t500	•			•				•
u500		•	•	•			•	+
v500		•		•	•	•		•
t850	÷		•			•		
u850		•	•	•	•	•	•	
v850								

Caveat: May start dates only 2003-2015 period **Observed Fire emission**

Improvements to sub-seasonal skill scores

		S	corec	ard We gf	ekly mean 3I -gih1	is - RF	PSS	
 Pos. sign 	-	Pos.	not sign.		🗧 Neg. sigr	1.	Neg. i	not sign.
	N.	Hem	isphe	ere		Tro	pics	
	w1	w2	w3	w4	w1	w2	w3	w4
tp				•		-		
t2m		•	+	•	•		•	÷
stemp				•			+	÷
sst		•	+	•		1		•
mslp	•	•	•		•		•	•
t50			•	•			•	•
u50				•		1	•	•
v50	-	•	•	•	1.1		•	•
sf200		- 1	•	1	· · ·	•	•	•
vp200		•	•				•	÷
t200	-	-	•		· · · ·	1	-	•
u200		•	•			•	1	•
v200	-			•	· · ·			÷
z500		-	•		1.1	1	•	÷
t500	•		1	•	1.1		-	•
u500		•		•	-		•	•
v500		•	+	•	•	•	-	÷
t850			•					÷
u850		•	•	•		•	•	
v850			•	•		•	•	

Active aerosols

Coupled vs pers SST

		Se	coreca	ard We g1	ekly 8e -	mean: g2iu	s - RF	PSS		
 Pos. sign. 		 Pos. 	not sign.		•	Neg. sign.		 Neg. 	not sign.	
	N. Hemisphere						Tro	pics		
	w1	w2	wЗ	w4		w1	w2	w3	w4	
tp			•	•				•		
t2m		•	•	•			•	•		
stemp	• (
sst										
mslp			-	•		•	•			
t50			•	•				-		
u50		+		•			•	-	•	
v50			•	•					•	
sf200	•	•				•	•			
vp200	-	•				•				
t200			-	•						
u200				-		•	•	•		
v200			-	•		•	•	•	•	
z500		•	•				•	•		
t500				•		•	•			
u500	-	•					•	•	•	
v500			÷					•	•	
t850		•	•	•		•				
u850	•	•	÷ .				•	•	•	
v850			•				•	•	•	

EUROPEAN CENTRE FOR MEDIUM-RANGE WEATHER FORECASTS

Sub-seasonal variability of aerosols



Intra-seasonal variance of AOT= 1/4 of total variance of AOT

INDONESIAN FIRES (Aug-OCT 2015)



Fire radiative power Aug-Oct 2015

Biomass burning AOD anomaly: up to 2000%

Benedetti et al, to appear in State of Climate 2016, BAMS. Credits: Antje Inness, Mark Parrington (ECMWF), Gerry Ziemke (NASA)

Need for fire prediction! (under development)

2m-tm anomaly Oct 2015 - Forecast starting 1st May



CAMS Daily Fire Emissions (GFASv1.2)



CAMS Annual Fire Emissions (GFASv1.2)



More complexity for sub-seasonal forecasting? Pros:

- Can improve skill scores (ocean, sea-ice, aerosols..)
- Can lead to new products:
 - Active aerosols: prediction of dust storm useful for Meningitis prediction
- Sea-ice model: Extended-range sea-ice forecasts for ship routing in the Arctic in Summer. **Cons:**
- Can be very expensive (e.g. active aerosols = 50% increase in cost)
 Resources could be allocated to improve tropospheric models, through, for instance, increased resolution, more frequent call to radiative transfer, increased ensemble size, more frequent forecasts (daily instead of twice weekly)
- Makes system more complex to understand and maintain
- Can increase systematic errors particularly in short/medium-range forecasts and possibly affect teleconnections

Impact of atmospheric resolution

Impact of Resolution - Tropical cyclone PAM - 9-15 March 2015



Probability of a TC strike within 300 km

Impact of Increased resolution

MJO in SP-CAM T21



Randall, Khairoutdinov, Arakawa, Grabowski 2003

Ongoing work by A. Subramanian (Oxford U.) to assess impact of Super-parameterization on subseasonal forecasts at ECMWF

Conclusions for a coupled ocean-atmosphere system

- Over the past decade, extended-range forecasts at ECMWF have significantly improved thanks mostly to improvements in the convective parameterization and possibly initial conditions (not discussed in this talk). It is likely to continue to be the case in the next years.
- There is room for improvement in many of the earth-system components which would produce incremental improvements in skill and also allow new sub-seasonal forecast products

- Of all the earth system components evaluated, the introduction of active aerosols seems particularly
 promising for sub-seasonal prediction.
- Resolution vs more complex systems: Not clear that resolution is the only way to go.

NAO Index S2S REFORECASTS 1999-2010



	Scorecard Weekly means - RPSS gf3I -gewx											
😑 Pos. sign	-	O Pos	not sign		•	Neg. sign. O Neg. not sign.						
	N.	. Hem	isphe	ere		Tropics						
	w1	w2	w3	w4		w1	w2	w3	w4			
tp		0	0			٥	0	ø	0			
t2m	•	0	(\cdot, \cdot)	0		0	0	0	0			
stemp	•	0		\bigcirc		-						
sst		•	۰	0				0	0			
mslp	+	\bigcirc		٥		•	۰		0			
t50	0	0	\bigcirc	0				۰				
u50	0	ø	0	\bigcirc			۰	0				
v50	0	٥	۰	0				0				
sf200		•	0	0		•	•	0	0			
vp200	• (()	۰	0		•	0	0	0			
t200	o	0	0	•			٥	۰	٠			
u200	٥		\bigcirc	•		•		0	•			
v200	۰	0	+	0		0			-			
z500		0	\bigcirc	0				0	0			
t500		\bigcirc	\bigcirc	0		•			0			
u500	٥	0	0				•	0				
v500	o	0	0				0	0				
t850	•	•	\bigcirc	0				0	•			
u850			+	0				0				
v850		0	0	0			o	0	0			

Improvement of MJO Skill with Ocean Coupling



MJO scores: 1992-1993 case

• No more gain for ML compared to 3D ocean

Courtesy E. De Boisseson

Impact of the QBO?

West minus East QBO Composite



2 proposed mechanisms for impact on tropical convection

1) Changes in static stability at tropopause: more stable and lower tropopause in west phase> convection lower (and maybe less top heavy heating profile based on Nie and Sobel 2015)

2) Changes in vertical shear of zonal wind at tropopause: less shear at tropopause over equatorial IO/West Pac in easterly phase, favors increased convection in easterly phase?

INDONESIAN FIRES (AUG-OCT 2015)



🛃 🔁 🔍 🚥

theguardian = all

Deforestation Indonesia forest fires: how the year's worst environmental disaster unfolded - interactive

As world leaders gather in Paris to discuss the global response to climate change, we assess the impact of the widespread forest fires in Indonesia. Set to clear land for paper and palm oil production, the fires have not only destroyed forest and peatland, but also severely affected public health and released massive amounts of carbon

Tuesday 1 December 2015 14.05 GMT





Fire Radiative Power (W/m2) accumulated over Indonesia during the 2015 fire season (Aug-Oct). Credits: Francesca Di Giuseppe



Towards cloud-resolving models



Nicam,14 km resolution

Miyakawa et al, Nature, 2014

Z500 Composites 3rd pentad after an MJO in phase 3

Persisted SSTs







Impact of Active Ozone

		Scorecard Weekly means - RPSS gk65 -gk63									
Pos. sign	1.	O Pos	. not sign		😑 Neg. sig	yn.	O Neg.	not sign.			
	N	. Hem	nisphe	ere		Tro	pics				
	w1	w2	w3	w4	w1	w2	w3	w4			
tp		۰		٥		۰		0			
t2m	•	۰	0		0	-	ø	•			
stemp			۰	0							
sst	•			•		-					
mslp	٥	0	0	•				۰			
t50	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc							
u50		+	0	0	-	-	0	0			
v50		٥	\bigcirc	0	٥	0		0			
sf200	-	0	0	0	-		0	0			
vp200		0	\bigcirc	\bigcirc				-			
t200			٠			-	0	o			
u200		0	0	a			0				
v200		0	0			•	۰				
z500		0	0	0		o	0	0			
t500		۰	\bigcirc	0			0				
u500		0	0	۰		o	0	0			
v500		٥	۰	0		0	0				
t850		0	0	0			0	•			
u850		0		0			0	0			
v850		0	•	0				ø			

ATHER FORECASTS





From medium-range to seasonal to extended range

Extended-range



NORM 120-W 100-W 60-W 60-W 40-W 20-W 0-E 20-E 40-E 60-E 50-E 120-E 120-E 140-E 160

Seasonal Forecast

DJF 2015/16



15 Jan 12 UTC ENS Meteogram ENS Meteogram Hong Kong, Hong Kong 22.75°N 114.19°E (EPS land point) Extended Range Forecast based on ENS distribution Friday 15 January 2016 12 UTC Daily mean of Total Cloud Cover (okta) Total Precipitation (mm/24h) 100 80 60 40 M-Climate of the distribution of 10m Wind Directio Daily Distribution of 10m Wind Directio Daily mean of 10m Wind Speed (m/s 2m min/max Temperature (°C) 25 Fri15 Sat16 Sun17 Mon18 Tue19 Wed20 Thu21 Fri22 Sat23 Sun24 Mon25 Tue26 Wed27 Thu28 Fri29 2016 M-Climate: this stands for Model Climate. It is a

Medium-range



function of lead time, date (+/-15days), and model version. It is derived by rerunning a 11 member ensemble over the last 20 years twice a week (1980 realisations). M-Climate is always from the same model version as the displayed ENS data.

EUROPEAN CENTRE FOR MEDIUM-RANGE WEATHER FORECASTS