# Land surface processes

Gianpaolo Balsamo Prepared for the Sub-Seasonal Predictability workshop at ECMWF 2<sup>nd</sup> November 2015

Acknowledgement of team effort and in particular to Clément Albergel, Anton Beljaars, Souhail Boussetta, Patricia De Rosnay, Emanuel Dutra, Joaquin Munoz-Sabater, Irina Sandu

- Role of land surface in S2S and beyond.
- Land processes representation and their uncertainties at ECMWF
- Outlook: what to do first?



# Land surface role in S2S



Dirmeyer et al. 2015: <u>http://library.wmo.int/pmb\_ged/wmo\_1156\_en.pdf</u>

## Land surface role in S2S: 10-year of R&D



Albergel et al. 2013JHM show dominance of significant drying trends for soil moisture in both reanalysis and satellite-based soil moisture dataset, with possibly larger areas of land surface predictability **ECNWF** EUROPEAN CENTRE FOR MEDIUM-RANGE WEATHER FORECASTS

# ECMWF Land surface model main structure in the ERA-Interim scheme

Tiled ECMWF Scheme for Surface Exchanges over Land



# Land surface model status in 41R2 (ERA5) and its evolution since ERA-Interim scheme

2007/11		2009/03-09		2010/11 2011/11		2012/06		2015/05	
•	Hydrology-TESSEL	•	NEW SNOW	•	NEW I	LAI	•	$H_2O/E/CO_2$	Flake
	Balsamo et al. (2009)		Dutra et al. (2010)		Boussetta et al. (2013)		Integration of	Mironov et al (2010),	
	van den Hurk and Viterbo (2003)		Revised snow densit	у	New	satellite-based	d	Carbon/Energy/Water	Dutra et al. (2010),
	Global Soil Texture (FAO	)	Liquid water reservoi	r	Leaf-	Area-Index		Boussetta et al. 2013	Balsamo et al. (2012,
	New hydraulic properties		Revision of Albedo and sub-grid sn		SOIL Evaporation		<u>Agusti-Panareda et</u>	2010)	
	Variable Infiltration capacity & surface runoff revision		cover		Balsam	o et al. (2011)	,	<u>al. 2015</u>	Extra tile (9) to for sub-grid lakes and ice
					<u>Alberge</u>	l et al. (2012)			LW tiling (Dutra)
R <sub>1</sub>	$R_{2}$			Molitoria et tertellos fuentes a restanción		vetric soil moleculer fraction		Nerrestrial uptake 0-1 120 Plantbiomass A (500)	

#### Land water storages: soil moisture and snow

Balsamo et al. (2015 HESS)

ERA-Interim/Land integrates land surface modelling improvements with respect to ERA-Interim surface scheme.



Evolution of soil moisture for a site in Utah in2010. Observations, ERA-Interim, and ERA-Interim/Land.



Bias -0.008 Rmse 0.054 Corr 0.979

Evolution of snow depth for a site in Perm Siberia (58.0N, 56.5E) ERA-Interim/Land and in-situ observation between 1979 and 1993.

#### Forecasts impact of improved soil/snow hydrology

Forecast Impact (+36-hour forecast, mean error at 2m temperature)



#### Impact of land surface development in reducing systematic model error



simulations colder than ERA-Interim

Warmer than ERA-Interim

# Coupling with the vegetation/soil layer with Atmosphere





Boussetta et al. 2015 (RSE) showed that albedo and vegetation state are important for accurate surface ET & weather FC during extremes.

Agusti-Panareda et al. 2014 (ACP) showed that CO2 can be predicted using land fluxes of CHTESSEL



Diurnal cycle Couple Experiment (DICE, Lock and Best UKMO) has shown an important effect of vegetation litter shielding water extraction for evaporation processes.

Important to know vegetation state and its activity (e.g. using Sentinel satellite fluorescence data). Vegetation cover variability is most important for NWP and linked with physiography work. See presentation from Souhail for phenology impact

#### **Vegetation state from satellite data**



Δ

3

2

1



#### 2m temperature forecast sensitivity and impact Boussetta et al. 2015, RSE



### Coupling and diurnal cycle: snow and ice

See presentation from Emanuel Dutra



Dutra et al. 2015 (TM) show that a shallower snow layer over Antarctica can improve the match to satellite measured skin temperature, Supporting investment in a multi-layer snow scheme.

However there is a **sizeable technical development** to host Multi-layer surface fields in operations.

GABLS experiment and interaction with CEN-MF led to a study on snowatmosphere coupling over permanent snow area.



### **Modelling inland water bodies**



A lake and shallow coastal waters parametrization scheme has been introduced in the ECMWF Integrated Forecasting System combining A representation of inland water bodies and coastal areas in NWP models is essential to simulate large contrasts of albedo, roughness that affect fluxes and the lake heat storage



#### **Diurnal cycles: difference forests & lakes**

Manrique-Suñén et al. (2013, JHM)



Main difference between lake & forest sites is found in energy partitioning

#### **Operational inland-water bodies in IFS cycle 41r1 (May 2015)**

land orography and ocean&lakes bathymetry (meters above/below sea-level, cimate.v009, T1279)



#### First results from the lake operational monitoring JJA 2015 (91-days AN vs OSTIA-lake)

Lake AFRICA	RMSE	BIAS	Correlation	Mean Model	Mean Obs	Stdev Model	Stdev Obs
Victoria_IFS41R1	0.957	0.826	0.491	25.665	24.849	0.554415	0.230933
ictoria_IFS40R1	3.157	-3.14	0.328	3 21.743	24.849	0.322463	0.230933
Lake CANADA	RMSE	BIAS	CORR	Mean Model	Mean Obs	Stdev Model	Stdev Obs
Great_Bear_IFS41R1	2.875	5 1.877	0.927	7 5.225	3.368	3.87317	1.96852
Great_Bear_IFS40R1	5.401	4.598	0.894	1 7.916	3.368	3 4.45394	1.96852
Lake S. AMERICA	RMSE	BIAS	CORR	Mean Model	Mean Obs	Stdev Model	Stdev Obs
Titicaca_IFS41R1	0.611	-0.425	0.822	12.322	12.742	0.739826	0.482809
Titicaca_IFS40R1	3.804	-3.789	0.752	8.995	12.742	0.463688	0.482809
Lake EU	RMSE	BIAS	CORR	Mean Model	Mean Obs	Stdev Model	Stdev Obs
Ladoga_IFS41R1	2.45	5 2.051	0.958	3 14.207	12.178	3 <b>4.22985</b>	4.60613
Ladoga_IFS40R1	1.443	-0.295	0.984	11.886	12.178	3.3881	4.60613
Lake sub-grid EU	RMSE	BIAS	CORR	Mean Model	Mean Obs	Stdev Model	Stdev Obs
Haukivesi_IFS41R1	1.706	-0.02	0.807	7 15.188	15.207	7 2.24239	2.88615
Haukivesi_IFS40R1	2.915	-2.733	0.964	12.504	15.207	7 3.44774	2.88615
				25			
	2010	Lake V	lictoria	20			
		IFS4:	1r1_lake_T	15			
		- IFS4:	1r1_lake_T_ol	d 10			
		_ OSTI	A-OSI-SAF_lak	e_T 5		-	
1 1		-		0	1	1	

## **Representing land-related forecast uncertainties**

Lang et al. (2013, RM)

- Forecasting is a probabilistic problem at all forecast-range and a more comprehensive representation of uncertainties including land surface variables had to be introduced
- EDA/ENS provide a framework to extend the methodology used for Atmospheric perturbations also to soil moisture, soil temperature and snow variables

 $x = x_{AN} \pm (x_{EDA,k} - \overline{x_{EDA}})$ 

The effects are visible on the 2-m temperature ENS spread which is enhanced 12 hours forecast (compared to no-surfaceperturbations)

The perturbation of the near surface observations used in EDA surface analyses permit to enhance the spread in near surface temperatures by a further 0.5-1 K.



150°W 120°W 90°W 60°W 30°W 0°E 30°E 60°E 90°E 120°E 150°E Mean difference of soil temperature between experiment with and without surface perturbations after 12 hours forecast-time; the positive values indicate larger spread

### **Perspectives for Earth System Prediction**

Towards integrated Ecosystems modelling

Modularity of the land system is a key to ESP model integrations and inter-operability of parameterizations



- Complexity needs a step-wise approach
- The assimilation methods are integral part of the model diagnostics
- A better coupling between subsystems is the ultimate goal, achievable by enhanced knowledge on each sub-system and the mutual interactions

**Better** 

the vertion

characterisation

respresentation on heterogeneity and

ecosystems interaction

Unification of

processes (cryosphere)

### Impact of soil vertical resolution for satellite soil moisture



Globally Improved match to satellite soil moisture (shown is ΔACC calculate on 1-month running mean)

Anomaly correlation (1988-2014) measured with ESA-CCI soil moisture remote sensing (multi-sensor) product. This provide a global validation of the usefulness of increase soil vertical resolution.

#### **Missing surface components**

Human action on the land and water use is currently neglected in most models...



- Urban area (a, in %, from ECOCLIMAP, Masson et al., 2003) and
- Irrigated area (b, in %, from Döll and Siebert, 2002)

### **Summary and Outlook**

- <u>Land-Atmosphere interaction</u> is a core research area for sub-seasonal predictability. At ECMWF natural surface elements are parameterized guided by satellite EO data.
- <u>Focusion on memory terms</u> (soil moisture, vegetation, lakes) carry predictability potential provided a realistic coupling is in place and the geographical area is characterized by temporal variability.
- Initialization and data assimilation of new satellite EO-data support model development and provides observation guidance on required/sustainable complexity

#### Ways forward for NWP/Monthly impact of land processes:

- <u>Increase vertical resolution</u> in the soil-snow-ice schemes would permit more timely interactions with the atmosphere and better heat-water distribution (this is demonstrated in recent results). Diurnal-cycle improvements affect all FC range.
- <u>Improve physiography</u> will lead to better prediction for the water, energy and CO2.
- <u>Anthropic surfaces</u> will be considered (urban, irrigated areas) to improve the validity of forecasts where people live.

## Land Surface processes and error representation

Improving the realism of soil, snow, vegetation and lakes parameterisations has been subject of several recent research efforts at ECMWF. These Earth surface components work effectively as **energy and water storage** terms with **memory** considerably longer than the atmosphere counterpart.

Their role regulating land-atmosphere **fluxes** is particularly relevant in presence of large weather and climate anomalies (i.e. extreme events)



Validity for 
$$H_2O / E / CO_2$$
 cycles: surface R&D directed towards improved storages and fluxes



#### Land fluxes

The ERA-Interim/Land fluxes are validated with independent datasets used as benchmarking.



Validation of  $H_2O / E / CO_2$  cycles



Figure 2: Mean performance measured for the monthly rivers discharge verified with GRDC observations

Figure 1: Mean performance measured over 36 stations with hourly Fluxes from FLUXNET & CEOP Observations networks

# Land surface role in reanalysis and climate

Atmospheric general circulation models need boundary conditions for the enthalpy, moisture (and momentum) equations: Fluxes of energy, water at the surface. This role has to evolve in Earth System Modelling



See also Wild et al 2015 as presented at ECMWF Annual Seminar <u>http://www.ecmwf.int/en/annual-seminar-2015</u> PA Surface I of IV - traning course 2013

## **Snow related uncertainties**

- EDA/ENS system includes land surface components (CY40R1) and perturbation also to the assimilated observations (CY40R3)
- Accounting for land surface uncertainties (particularly for snow) enhances the ensemble spread of 2m temperature prediction and its usefulness for forecasters
- The uncertainty is situation dependent and perturbations permit to capture the occurrence of extremes (e.g. clear sky nights combined with snow covered surface can generate very cold temperatures)
- Small snow cover errors → large temperature impact



**C** Reading (51.4°N, 1.0°W) 2013-01-16 00 UTC







**d** Reading (51.4°N, 1.0°W), snowcover 2013-01-16 00 UTC



# Soil moisture related uncertainties

 EDA/ENS system includes soil moisture which obtain a more homogeneous spread in the 2m temperature forecast.





#### **Diurnal cycle and vegetation variability**

Trigo et al. (2015, JGR in rev.), Boussetta et al. (2015, RSE)



Findings of large biases in the diurnal temperature reposed on the use of MSG Skin Temperature. However with the current model version we are limited (both over bare soil and vegetation)

#### An enhanced soil vertical resolution

The model bias in Tskin amplitude shown by <u>Trigo et al. (2015)</u> motivated the development of an enhanced soil vertical discretisation to improve the match with satellite products.





#### Impact of soil vertical resolution on soil temperature



Sensitivity Max Tskin for July 2014

Higher T-max at the L-A interface up to 3 degrees warmer on bare soil (without symmetric effect on Tmin!) Offline simulations with **10-layer soil** Compared to **4-layer soils** 

In-situ validation at 50cm depth (on 2014, 64 stations) **Results by Clément Albergel** RMSD vs. obs.[50cm] 10-layer soil 6.0 60 / 72 4.5 3.0 1.5 0.0 1.5 0.0 4.5 6.0 3.0 **4-layer soils** 

Improved match to deep soil temperature (shown is correlation and RMSD)

Correlation with in-situ soil temperature validate the usefulness of increase soil vertical resolution for monthly timescale (0.50 cm deep). Research work will continue using satellite skin temperature data (2<sup>nd</sup> visit of René Orth ETH).