Developing and Operating the Sea Ice Concentration ECV: the ESA CCI and EUMETSAT OSISAF join forces.

Thomas Lavergne^(*) and colleagues from the CCI Sea Ice and OSISAF projects (*) Norwegian Meteorological Institute thomas.lavergne@met.no

Take-home messages

• Polar sea ice concentration (SIC), extent, and area are key indicators and strong drivers in a global changing climate.

Satellite sensors are key for this ECV, with data back to 1978.

• The ESA CCI and EUMETSAT OSISAF collaborate and coordinate their plans for achieving best accuracy and re-use of existing capabilities.

And finally:







 The Copernicus Marine Core Service has a formal agreement with EUMETSAT OSISAF and MET Norway for provision and redistribution of SIC ECV data. Similar solutions can be discussed with the C3S.

Fig 1: Maps of Northern Hemisphere Sea Ice Concentration (SIC) for end of summer season (September) in 1979, 2012, and 2014

<u>Research and Development : What we know, and what we still don't</u>

• The ESA CCI Sea Ice (Ivanova et al. 2015), and EUMETSAT OSISAF (Andersen et al. 2007) confirm that a good SIC algorithm for climate monitoring combines the following 3 elements:

- A pair of SIC algorithms (for example Comiso-F for open water, and Bristol for closed ice); Correction of the brightness temperatures with Radiative Transfer Modeling (RTM);
- Dynamic tuning of the algorithm coefficients (*aka* dynamic tie-points).

• Per-pixel uncertainties are provided with the SIC maps (see Fig 2), but more R&D will allow refinement and increased confidence in these uncertainties.

 Additional CCI R&D indicates that even better algorithms exist, but that the final accuracy is now limited by our approach to atmospheric correction (see Fig 3). We must move to Inverse / Optimal Estimation (OE) techniques in the future.





Fig 2: Example SIC fields from AMSR-E as processed in the ESA CCI project. Daily maps of SIC (left) always come with per-pixel uncertainties (right).

Snow on sea-ice : Snow-depth on sea-ice is at present still largely unknown, and few retrieval algorithms or validation data exists. It is one of the largest remaining sources of uncertainty for the SIC ECV, as well as for the Sea Ice Thickness retrievals from Radar Altimeters.

Fig 3: New research from the ESA CCI Phase 2 project points out that more accurate algorithms can be designed with continuous R&D efforts. The limiting factor now seems the way RTM correction is done.

Ivanova et al. (2015) Sea ice algorithms inter-comparison and evaluation: towards further identification of challenges and optimal approach using passive microwave observations, The Cryosphere Discussion (under review) Andersen et al. (2007). Intercomparison of Passive Microwave Sea Ice Concentration Retrievals over the High-Concentration Arctic Sea Ice, J. Geophys. Res., 112, C08004, doi:10.1029/2006JC003543.

Operations : What is in place, and where to get from here?



• Long-term funding, continuous re-processing, software maintenance, version control, help desk, etc... are needed to transition an R&D effort into an operational ECV service.

• This is the main strength of the EUMETSAT OSISAF since its initial developments (late 1990s). The next 5-year phase (2017-2022) will include a full reprocessing of the SIC ECV.

• The OSISAF processes NRT, and 1-month delayed updates to the SIC dataset, allowing for continuous monitoring of the ECV (see Fig 4).

• EUMETSAT OSISAF and ESA CCI join forces to achieve best accuracy and re-use of capabilities, targeting the next full reprocessing of SIC ECV in late 2016 (see time-line below).

 Copernicus Marine Core Service has formal agreement with OSISAF and MET Norway for redistribution of SIC data, similar agreements can be discussed with the C3S.

Fig 4: Daily-updated Arctic Sea Ice Extent, combining the OSISAF reprocessed dataset and near-real-time products.



T. Lavergne (thomas.lavergne@met.no), Met Norway, June 2015