



Optimization of Climate/Weather Applications for Cray Architectures

John Levesque

Senior Technologist Product Development

© 2003 Cray, Inc.

A Long and Proud History





Seymour Cray

Founded Cray Research 1972 The Father of Supercomputing



Cray-1 System (1976)

First Supercomputer 160 MFLOPS Processor 160 MFLOPS System Peak

Cray X1 System (2002)



Cray-X-MP System (1982)

First Multiprocessor Supercomputer 235 MFLOPS Processor 940 MFLOPS System Peak



Cray-C90 System (1991)

First Computer with 1 GFLOP Processor 1 GFLOPS Processor 16 GFLOPS System Peak

Leaders in Supercomputing Systems Engineered for Performance



Cray T3E System (1996)

World's Most Successful MPP 900-1350 MFLOPS Processor 2.8 TFLOPS System Peak



(Cray Inc Founded 2000)



Strider (2004)

Largest X86 System 4.8 GFLOPS Processor 41 TFLOPS System Peak



Cray XD1 System (2004)

X86 Direct Connect Architecture 4.8 GFLOPS Processor 5 TFLOPS System Peak

Cray's Family of Supercomputers





Purpose-Built High Performance Computers

Cray's Family of Supercomputers





Purpose-Built High Performance Computers

Characteristics of Systems



- Cray X1/X1E
 - 12.8/18 GFLOPS
 Processors
 - Vector Processing
 - High Vector Memory Bandwidth
 - Low latency Network
 - Highest Bandwidth
 Network
- Cray X1/X1E
 - Must Vectorize Code
 - Co-Array Fortran and UPC for optimizing communication

- Cray XD1/Red Storm
 - .GT. 4 Gigaflop COTS processor
 - Superscalar Opertron
 - Highest Bandwidth micro-processor
 - Low latency Network
 - High Bandwidth Network
- Cray XD1/Red Storm
 - Cache Based system
 - SHMEM available for optimizing communication

Leadership Class Computing

- Cray-ORNL Selected by DOE for National Leadership Computing Facility (NLCF)
- Goal: Build the most powerful supercomputer in the world
- 250-teraflop capability by 2007
 - 50-100 TF sustained performance on challenging scientific applications
 - Cray X1/X1E and 'Red Storm' products
- Focused on capability computing
 - Available across government, academia, and industry
 - Including biology, climate, fusion, materials, nanotech, chemistry
 - Open scientific research



OAK RIDGE NATIONAL LABORATORY

The Center for
Computational Sciences
DOE High Performance Computing Research Center



Cray X1 Systems

Widespread adoption



- Domestic and international; Government and commercial
- In leading positions of the most powerful single computers (International Data Corporation Balanced Ratings – 2003)
 - 12.8 GF CPU with high memory bandwidth and sustained performance
- Ten Cray X1 systems in TOP500 (November 2003)
 - Three 256-CPU systems at positions #19, #20, and #21
- Enabling New Science
 - Improved weather forecast accuracy 5km resolution model of entire U.S. in less than 2 hours
 - Parallel Ocean Program (POP) running 50% faster per CPU than the Earth Simulator
 - 1TF Sustained performance on an unstructured finite element method-based fluid dynamics application
 - NASA CFD code run on single cabinet Cray X1 can accomplish work which used to take a week, in a single day.

The Cray XD1 Supercomputer

Cray XD1



- Built for price/performance
 - Interconnect bandwidth/latency
 - System-wide process synchronization
 - Application Acceleration FPGAs

Standards-based

- 32/64-bit X86, Linux, MPI
- High resiliency
 - Self-configuring, self-monitoring, selfhealing
- Single system command & control
 - Intuitive, tightly integrated management software

Entry/Mid Range System Optimized for Sustained Performance **Cray XD1**





Compute

- 12 AMD Opteron processors 32/64 bit, x86 processors
- High Performance Linux

RapidArray Interconnect

- 12 communications processors
- 1 Tb/s switch fabric

Active Management

Dedicated processor

Application Acceleration

6 FPGA co-processors

Processors directly connected via integrated switch fabric

Outline of Talk



- Investigation of POP Optimization
- Optimization of MM5 and WRF
- Optimization of CAM

Optimization of POP



- Over the past 2 years POP's execution on the X1 has received considerable attention
 - 1 Degree Model
 - Using POP 1.4.3





2.50E+02

Optimization observations



• POP out of the box did not run well

Initial Comparisons of Various Versions of POP





Result of High Bandwidth



Comparisons of Various Versions of POP



Processors

Optimization observations



- POP out of the box did not run well
- Vectorized impvmix_t, impvmix_u
 - Inner K loop was recursive
 - Vectorized on I and streamed on J
- Vectorized hmix_aniso
 - Inner loops on quadrants and CASE statements were preventing vectorization
 - Vectorized on I and streamed on J

Comparisons of Various Versions of POP





Optimization observations



- POP out of the box did not run well
- Vectorized impvmix_t, impvmix_u
 - Inner K loop was recursive
 - Vectorized on I and streamed on J
- Vectorized hmix_aniso
 - Inner loops on quadrants and CASE statements were preventing vectorization
 - Vectorized on I and streamed on J
- Rewrote global_sum and ninept_4 in CAF
- Tried different Synchronization techniques



- Source translation using 256 entry TLBs with multiple page sizes: virtual page # bits translated locally
 - Allows non-contiguous node jobs or single CPU job reference off-node memory
- *Remote translation (RTT): virtual page # bits represent logical node #*
 - − Logical node bits + BaseNode → physical node, page offset translated remotely
 - TLB only needs to hold translations for one node \Rightarrow scales with no TLB misses

Using Global Address Capability

- Co-Array Fortran
 - Array must be symmetric or
 - Use derived types with pointers
- Actually use pointer directly, manipulating the address to get to other processors
- Advantages
 - No need to pack buffers, simply access boundary information from neighbor processors.

Comparisons of Various Versions of POP





Optimization observations



- POP out of the box did not run well
- Vectorized impvmix_t, impvmix_u
 - Inner K loop was recursive
 - Vectorized on I and streamed on J
- Vectorized hmix_aniso
 - Inner loops on quadrants and CASE statements were preventing vectorization
 - Vectorized on I and streamed on J
- Remote global_sum and ninept_4 in CAF
- Tried different Synchronization techniques
- OPERATING SYSTEM IMPROVEMENT
- MPI-LITE

Comparisons of Various Versions of POP



Optimization observations



- POP out of the box did not run well
- Vectorized impvmix_t, impvmix_u
 - Inner K loop was recursive
 - Vectorized on I and streamed on J
- Vectorized hmix_aniso
 - Inner loops on quadrants and CASE statements were preventing vectorization
 - Vectorized on I and streamed on J
- Remote global_sum and ninept_4 in CAF
- Tried different Synchronization techniques
- OPERATING SYSTEM IMPROVEMENT
- AND MPI HAS IMPROVED

Comparisons of Various Versions of POP



Network Bandwidth Example



FPMD performance





WRF on Cray X1



- WRF software design is well suited to Cray X1 architecture.
 - Designed with both parallel and vector hardware in mind.
 - Eulerian Mass dynamics core has 'tiling' feature which maps to OpenMP or Cray streaming.
 - Storage order (J,K,I) or (latitudes, vertical, longitudes) allows efficient use of X1 architecture:
 - Parallel over J with MPI and Cray streaming or OpenMP
 - Another parallel level possible over K using Cray Streaming
 - Long vector lengths over I dimension
- Cray is working with WRF consortium and NCAR to improve further.

WRF X1 Port



- Minimal X1 changes needed to build.
- No user configurable domain decomposition
 - Both MM5 and WRF run better on X1 with 1 dimensional decomposition (up to ~128 MSPs)
 - Increases vector lengths
 - WRF default is to make it 2D square
- Uses RSL (MPI) communications library from MM5 – X1 optimizations incorporated
 - Streaming and vector enhancements
- Reduce RSL stencil compilation overhead
 - Cut WRF init time in half

WRF v1.3 X1 Optimization



- Promote Streaming to 'numtiles' level with Cray csd directives, set numtiles=4
 - More evenly distributes work across MSPs
- Change order of loops in 'Lin' microphysics routine from J,I,K to J,K,I
 - Hand inlining
 - Promote local arrays to 2 dimensions (from K to K,I)
 - Get vectorization along full latitudes instead of shorter vertical column
 - Resulted in 2.1x performance gain

Code Structure



Cray streaming directives to distribute work across SSPs, numtiles = 4

1246.	1	!csd\$ parallel do p	private(ij)	
1247.	1	!csd\$& schedule(sta	atic,1)	
1248.	1 M<	DO ij = 1 , g	grid%num_tiles	
1249.	1 M			
1250.	1 M I<>	CALL wrf_	_debug (200 , ' call cumulus_driver')	
1251.	1 M			
1252.	1 M I<>	CALL cumul	.us_driver(itimestep,dt,DX,num_3d_m,	&
1253.	1 M		RTHCUTEN, RQVCUTEN, RQCCUTEN, RQRCUTEN,	&
1254.	1 M		RQICUTEN, RQSCUTEN, RAINC, RAINCV, NCA,	&
1255.	1 M		u_phy,v_phy,th_phy,t_phy,w_2,moist_2,	&
1256.	1 M		dz8w,p8w,p_phy,pi_phy,config_flags,	&
1257.	1 M		WOAVG, rho, STEPCU,	&
1258.	1 M		CLDEFI,LOWLYR,XLAND,CU_ACT_FLAG,warm_rain,	&
1259.	1 M		HTOP, HBOT,	&
1260.	1 M		ids,ide, jds,jde, kds,kde,	&
1261.	1 M		ims,ime, jms,jme, kms,kme,	&
1262.	1 M		grid%i_start(ij), min(grid%i_end(ij),ide-1),	&
1263.	1 M		grid%j_start(ij), min(grid%j_end(ij),jde-1),	&
1264.	1 M		k_start , min(k_end,kde-1))
1265.	1 M			
1266.	1 M>	ENDDO		
1267.	1	!csd\$ end parallel	do	

Code Structure



• Very vector friendly, I dimension = 600 for 1D decomposition

1222.	1<	DO j = j_start, j_end
1223.	1	
1224.	1 2<	DO k=kts+3,ktf-2
1225.	1 2 V<	DO i = i_start, i_end
1226.	1 2 V	vel=0.5*(rom(i-1,k,j)+rom(i,k,j))
1227.	1 2 V	vflux(i,k) = vel*flux6(&
1228.	1 2 V	u(i,k-3,j), u(i,k-2,j),u(i,k-1,j), &
1229.	1 2 V	u(i,k ,j), u(i,k+1,j),u(i,k+2,j),-vel)
1230.	1 2 V>	ENDDO
1231.	1 2>	ENDDO
1232.	1	
1233.	1>	ENDDO



WRF 1D Decomposition

NCAR NewConus Benchmark



- Early results for NCAR benchmark CONUS (continental US) forecast case
- 425x300, 12KM grid, 35 vertical levels
- Timestep = 72 seconds (2X typical MM5)
- Average of 72 timesteps, does not include first and last timesteps
- MSP mode
- 1D domain decomposition, numtiles=4
- 2D, 2x75, at 150 MSPs
- 75 MSPs 'fits' best, 300/75 = 4 latitudes per MSP, 1 latitude for each SSP

WRF v 1.3





WRF EM Core, 425x300x35, DX=12km, DT=72s

(Feb 2004)

WRF NewConus Benchmark



WRF EM Core, 425x300x35, DX=12km, DT=72s



CAM



- Community Atmospheric Model from NCAR
- Used in CCSM
 - Usually is performance gating component
- Big vectorization effort for Cray X1 and NEC systems completed this year
- X1 performance matches Earth Simulator

CAM T42 (dev50) Performance





CAM T85 (dev50) Performance





Summary



- Cray is executing successfully and achieving product development milestones.
- The Earth Sciences market is key for Cray's unique range of science-driven technologies.
- Cray is actively participating and investing in this community.
- Large, experienced and dedicated Earth Sciences Team.
- Product roadmap is well positioned to meet the scientific needs of the community for many years.

Questions:





Ryan Joseph Levesque Infant Technologist

After hearing this presentation